

Effect of planting geometry and weed-management practices on yield of scented rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties

PURNIMA HALDAR¹ AND ASHWANI KUMAR THAKUR²

Shaheed Gundadthur College of Agriculture and Research Station, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jagdalpur, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 494 001

Received: January 2022; Revised accepted: November 2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Shaheed Gundadthur College of Agriculture and Research Station, of the Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh during rainy season (*Kharif*) of 2019, to evaluate the effect of planting geometry and weed-management practices in transplanted scented rice varieties. Treatments consisting combination of 2 varieties, 2 planting geometry and 4 weed management practices were replicated thrice in a split-split plot design. The dominant weeds in transplanted rice were: *Ludwigia peploides* (Kunth) P.H. Raven., *Spilanthus acmella* (L.) C.B. Clarke ex Hook. f., *Echinochloa colona* L., *Cyperus difformis* L., *Cyperus iria* L., *Commelina benghalensis* L. and *Fimbristylis miliacea* (L.) Vahl. Significantly lowest population of different weed species, and the highest grain and straw yields, and harvest index were found with variety, 'Tarunbhog Selection 1' while the maximum test weight was observed with 'Dubraj Selection 1'. The planting geometry of 20 cm × 20 cm recorded the minimum weed population and the highest yield and benefit cost ratio. Application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 20 g/ha at 3 Days after transplanting (DAT) + 1 hand weeding (HW) at 25 DAT was found the most effective for broad-spectrum weed control and increased the rice grain yield. The highest net returns and benefit cost were recorded with the pre-emergence application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10 % WP @ 20 g/ha.

Key words: Benefit: cost ratio, 'Dubraj selection 1', Harvest index, Planting Geometry, 'Tarunbhog Selection 1', Weed

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important foodgrain crop of India and a major source of energy for about 60% of the global population. Asia's food security mainly depends on the irrigated rice which contributes one-third of total rice production. About 90% rice is produced and consumed in Asian country (Basha *et al.*, 2017). Among the paddy producing countries, India has the largest acreage (43.39 million ha) and is the second largest producer (104.32 million tonnes) next to China (207 million tonnes). The productivity of rice in India is quite low 2.39 t/ha, while the world's average productivity is 4.25 t/ha.

Based on a part of M.Sc. Thesis of the first author submitted to Shaheed Gundadthur College of Agriculture and Research Station of the Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh in 2019 (unpublished)

Corresponding author's Email: hpurnima29@gmail.com

¹Guest Teacher, Krantikari Debaridhur College of Horticulture and Research Station Jagdalpur, Bastar, Mahatma Ghandhi University of Horticulture and Forestry, Durg Chhattisgarh 494001; ²Principal Scientist, Shaheed Gundadthur College of Agriculture and Research Station, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh 494 001

Chhattisgarh occupies an area of 3.84 million ha with production and productivity of 6.09 million tonnes and 1.5 t/ha respectively (MAFW, 2016).

Chhattisgarh is very popular for growing short slender/medium slender aromatic rice i.e. 'Dubraj', 'Tulsi Manjari', 'Badshahbhog', 'Vishnubhog', 'Gopalbhog', 'Kubrimohar', 'Laxmibhog' and 'Jawaphool'. More than 100 local landraces of scented rice with pleasing aroma are grown in different parts of the Chhattisgarh state. Among the scented rice, 'Dubraj' occupies ~10 % of the total rice growing area of the Chhattisgarh state (Sharma *et al.*, 2017).

An ideal plant population influences the use of solar radiation and nutrients for their growth and development. For the higher rice productivity, desired number of plant population per unit area is an important one for getting maximum yield (Das *et al.*, 2016; Choudhary *et al.*, 2022).

Weeds are the major biotic constraint to reduce the rice productivity worldwide (Das *et al.* 2016; Choudhary *et al.*, 2022). In transplanted rice, about 60% of the weeds emerge in the period between 1 week to 1 month after

transplanting. These emerging weeds are competing with rice at effective tillering stage and decline the quantity of panicles leading in reduction of grain yield. Weed management can be achieved by either application of pre-emergence or post - emergence or combination of both or manual weeding. The herbicides offer the most effective, economical and practical way of weed management (Sureshkumar and Durairaj, 2016).

A field experiment was conducted at the Shaheed Gundadhar College of Agriculture and Research Station, of the Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh during rainy season (*kharif*) of 2019. The experiment was laid out in a split split plot design with 3 replications. The main plot treatment consisted of 2 scented rice varieties, viz. 'Dubraj Selection 1' (V_1) and 'Tarunbhog Selection 1' (V_2), sub plot treatments comprised 2 planting geometry, viz. 20 cm $\times$ 15 cm (G_1) and 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm (G_2), and sub-subplot consisted of 4 weed management practices, viz. pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 20 g/ha at 3 days after transplanting (DAT) + 1 Hand weeding (HW) at 25 DAT (W_1), bispyribac sodium 10% SC @ 20 ml/ha at 25 DAT + 1 HW at 50 DAT (W_2), 2 HW at 25 and 50 DAT (W_3) and absolute control (W_4). Soil of the experimental site was clay loam, (*Alfisol*) slightly acidic and medium in organic matter and available NPK. During *kharif* 2019, the normal average annual rainfall of the area was, 1,440 mm during cropping season but its distribution was very erratic. Major amount of precipitation occurs between June and September, a total of 1,740.20 mm rainfall was received with 78 rainy days. The maximum temperature varied from 31.7°C in 4th week of June to 30.7°C

in 4th week of November, whereas the minimum varied from 13.8°C in 1st week of November to 13.1°C in 2nd week of December.

The major weeds found in association with transplanted rice during *kharif* 2019 were: *Ludwigia peploides* (Kunth) P.H. Raven, *Echinochloa colona* L., *Cyperus difformis* L., *Cyperus iria* L., *Commelina benghalensis* L. and, *Fimbristylis miliacea* (L.) Vahl.

Data pertaining to the total weed density on different weed species in Table 1. Rice field was infested with *Ludwigia peploides* and *Spilanthes acmella* (21%) *Cyperus iria* (14%), *Fimbristylis miliacea* (10%), *Commelina benghalensis*, *Cyperus difformis* (8%) in *Echinochloa colona*. (7%) and other weeds (11%).

Data relating to grain and straw yield are presented in Table 2. Grain yield of scented rice was not affected significantly not 2 varieties; however higher grain yield was observed in variety V_2 than the variety V_1 . Planting geometry of G_1 resulted in higher grain yield than the G_2 , because of greater weed suppression, which resulted in higher of crop dry matter, more effective tillers and less yield due to less dry matter accumulation, and less number of tillers/m². In case of different weed management practices, W_1 exhibited significantly higher grain yield, being at par with W_3 and W_2 treatments. Minimum crop-weed competition resulted in higher grain as also reported by Ghosh *et al.*, (2016).

Likewise, straw yield was significantly superior in W_2 treatment and it was at par with W_3 in among the weed management practices.

Table 1. Density of total weed density (m²), weed control efficiency (%) weed index of rice as influenced by different variety, planting geometry and weed management practices

Treatment	Total weed density/m ² (number)				Weed-control efficiency (%)				Weed Index
	At 25 DAT	At 50 DAT	At 75 DAT	At harvesting	At 25 DAT	At 50 DAT	At 75 DAT	At harvesting	
<i>Variety</i>									
V ₁	54.88 (7.06)	39.13 (5.26)	53.43 (6.01)	57.33 (6.33)	25.66	68.40	68.78	67.96	-0.82
V ₂	41.25 (6.18)	35.51 (5.16)	45.60 (5.72)	49.18 (5.94)	29.29	66.02	67.50	67.46	3.25
SEm±	0.17	0.09	0.03	0.03	3.52	0.81	0.49	0.33	1.60
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.15	0.18	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>Geometry</i>									
G ₁	37.18 (5.87)	33.54 (4.99)	45.28 (5.59)	50.03 (6.00)	30.21	66.58	68.33	67.41	-0.79
G ₂	58.94 (7.37)	41.10 (5.43)	53.74 (6.13)	56.47 (6.27)	24.73	67.84	67.95	68.01	3.22
SEm±	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.03	1.32	0.30	0.20	0.24	3.00
CD (P=0.05)	0.20	0.11	0.27	0.11	5.17	1.51	NS	NS	NS
<i>Weed-management</i>									
W ₁	10.97 (3.34)	7.77 (2.82)	23.86 (4.76)	26.25 (5.01)	82.80	93.05	85.23	84.66	-2.55
W ₂	58.48 (7.58)	12.82 (3.57)	9.75 (3.12)	11.45 (3.38)	12.03	88.62	93.80	93.18	-6.94
W ₃	56.55 (7.45)	14.39 (3.76)	10.23 (3.17)	11.81 (3.41)	15.06	87.17	93.52	93.00	0.00
W ₄	66.26 (8.10)	114.31 (10.68)	154.21 (12.39)	163.51 (12.75)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.35
SEm±	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	1.87	1.01	0.96	0.90	2.96
CD (P=0.05)	0.37	0.38	0.37	0.39	7.31	2.95	2.81	2.61	8.63

V_2 , 'Tarunbhog Selection 1'; G_1 , 20 $\times$ 15 cm; G_2 , 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm; W_1 , Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 20 g/ha at 3 DAT + 1 hand-weedings HW at 25 days after transplanting (DAT); W_2 , bispyribac sodium 10% SC @ 20 ml/ha at 25 DAT + 1 HW at 50 DAT; W_3 , 2 HW at 25 and 50 DAT and W_4 , Absolute control

Table 2. Test weight, grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, cost of cultivation, net returns and benefit: cost ratio of rice as influence by different variety, planting geometry and weed-management practices

Treatment	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)	Cost of cultivation	Net returns (₹/ha)	Benefit: cost ratio
<i>Variety</i>							
V ₁	18.28	4.12	5.44	4.30	129296	83599	1.82
V ₂	14.43	4.40	5.67	4.35	137448	91750	2.01
SEm±	0.05	0.64	0.82	0.03			0.043
CD (P=0.05)	0.29	NS	NS	NS			NS
<i>Geometry</i>							
G ₁	16.33	4.40	5.63	4.35	137335	91012	1.96
G ₂	16.38	4.13	5.45	4.35	129409	84336	1.87
SEm±	0.14	0.61	0.75	0.31			0.040
CD (P=0.05)	NS	2.37	NS	NS			NS
<i>Weed-management</i>							
W ₁	16.48	4.61	5.39	4.60	143638	98252	2.16
W ₂	16.80	4.41	6.14	4.22	138594	91458	1.94
W ₃	16.63	4.50	5.67	4.42	140874	92989	1.94
W ₄	15.49	3.51	5.13	4.14	110383	67997	1.60
SEm±	0.16	0.71	1.06	0.29			0.048
CD (P=0.05)	0.48	2.92	2.53	0.85			0.14

V₁ - 'Dubraj Selection 1', V₂ - 'Tarunbhog Selection 1', G₁ - 20 × 15 cm, G₂ - 20 cm × 20 cm, W₁ - .Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 20 g/ha at 3 DAT + 1 HW at 25 DAT, W₂ - bispyribac sodium 10% SC @ 20 ml/ha at 25 DAT + 1 HW at 50 DAT, W₃ - 2 HW at 25 and 50 DAT and W₄ - Absolute control.

Harvest index was significantly superior in planting geometry of G₁ over the G₂. It might be the consequential effects of maximum tillers/hill and grains/panicle. In case of different weed management practices, treatment W₁ resulted in significantly higher harvest index. The herbicidal treatment had similar effect as that of hand-weeding in controlling weeds scented rice, which might be attributed to long term effective control of weeds during the growing

period of crop as reported by Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, (2008).

Data presented in Table 3. Interaction effects of varieties × planting geometry × different weed management practices were found significant. The interaction of V₁ × G₁ × W₁ resulted in significantly higher grain yield than at par with V₁ × G₁ × W₂ and V₁ × G₁ × W₃. Interaction of V₂ × G₁ × W₁ resulted in significantly higher grain yield than at par with V₂ × G₁ × W₃, and V₂ × G₁ × W₂ showed statisti-

Table 3. Interaction effect on grain yield of rice as influence by different variety, planting geometry and weed management practices

Treatment	Grain yield (t/ha)				Mean
	Variety				
	V ₁		V ₂		
	Geometry				
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₁	G ₂	
<i>Weed-management</i>					
W ₁	4.80	4.50	4.74	4.43	4.61
W ₂	4.53	4.00	4.64	4.45	4.42
W ₃	4.55	4.31	4.71	4.47	4.51
W ₄	3.51	2.84	3.64	4.10	3.51
Mean V	4.13	4.39			
Mean G	4.40	4.13			
	V	G	W	G × W	V × G × W
SEm±	0.64	0.61	0.71	1.00	1.42
CD (P=0.05)	NS	2.37	2.07	NS	4.13

V₁, 'Dubraj Selection 1'; V₂, 'Tarunbhog Selection 1'; G₁, 20 × 15 cm; G₂, 20 cm × 20 cm; W₁, Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 20 g/ha at 3 DAT + 1 hand-weedings HW at 25 days after transplanting (DAT); W₂, bispyribac sodium 10% SC @ 20 ml/ha at 25 DAT + 1 HW at 50 DAT; W₃, 2 HW at 25 and 50 DAT and W₄, Absolute control

cally higher economic yield.

Different varieties and geometries showed higher gross and net returns, and benefit cost ratio, though not significantly in variety 'Tarunbhog selection 1' and planting geometry of 20 cm × 15 cm than the other treatments. Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 200 g/ha at 3 DAT + 1 HW at 25 DAT also recorded significantly higher net returns, and benefit: cost ratio.

The variety 'Tarunbhog Selection 1' was found better variety than 'Dubraj Selection 1' for grain yield. The planting geometry of 20 cm × 15 cm proved better in weed control and grain yield than the geometry 20 cm × 20 cm. Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP @ 200 g/ha at 3 DAT + 1 HW at 25 DAT also controlled all the weeds at initial stages and produced higher yield and yield attributing characters among all the weed management practices.

REFERENCES

- Basha, J., Venkatesh, B. and Kumar, S. 2017. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) response to planting geometry and Method of Planting. *International Journal of New Technologies in Science and Engineering* 4(1): 13–21.
- Choudhary, A.K., Sood, P., Rahi, S., Yadav, D.S., Thakur, O.C., Siranta, K.R., Dass, A., Singh, Y.V., Kumar, A., Vijayakumar, S., Bhupenchandra, I., Dua, V.K., Shivadhar, Bana, R.S., Pooniya, V., Sepat, S., Kumar, S., Rajawat, M.V.S., Rajanna, G.A., Harish, M.N., Varatharajan, T., Kumar, A. and Tyagi, V. 2022. Rice productivity, Zn-biofortification and nutrient-use efficiency as influenced by Zn-fertilization under conventional transplanted-rice and the system of rice intensification. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 10: 869–994.
- Dass, A., Shekhawat, K., Choudhary, A.K., Sepat, S., Rathore, S.S., Mahajan, G. and Chauhan, B.S. 2016. Weed management in rice using crop-competition—A review. *Crop Protection* 95: 45–52.
- Ghosh, D., Singh, U.P., Ray, K. and Das, A. 2016. Weed management through herbicide application in direct-seeded rice and yield modelling by artificial neural network. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 14(2): 2,171–9,292.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, Md, Obaidul. and Bapari, Md. S. 2008. Efficacy of different herbicides over manual weeding in controlling weeds in transplanted rice. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 2(1): 18–24.
- MAFW, 2016. *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2016*. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Sharma, D., Das, B.K., Kumar, V., Tiwari, A., Sahu, P.K., Singh, S. and Baghel, S. 2017. Identification of semi-dwarf and high yielding mutants in dubraj rice variety of Chhattisgarh through gamma ray based induced mutagenesis. *International Journal of Genetics* 9(9): 298–303.
- Sureshkumar R, and Durairaj, N.S. 2016. Weed characters and indices of transplanted-rice as influenced by different weed management practices. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences* 8(51): 2,221–2,223.