

Effect of integrated weed management and intercropping systems on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)

BALDEV RAM¹, G.R. CHAUDHARY², A.S. JAT³ AND M.L. JAT⁴

Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Jobner 303 329

Received : November 2003

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during the rainy season (*kharif*) of 1999 and 2000 at College of Agriculture, Jobner (Jaipur), on a loamy sand soil, to evaluate the effect of integrated weed management and intercropping systems on growth and yield of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br. emend. Stuntz]. Lowest weed population (117/m²) and dry weight (975 kg/ha) were recorded under pearl millet + cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] followed by pearl millet + greengram (*Phaseolus radiatus* L.) while maximum under sole pearl millet at harvest. The sole pearl millet recorded significantly higher tillers/plant than all the 3 intercropping systems. Maximum plant height was recorded under sole pearl millet, being at par with pearl millet + clusterbean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taubert]. Sole pearl millet gave significantly more ear length, grain (20.8 q/ha) and stover (54.9 q/ha) than rest of the intercropping systems whereas highest pearl millet-grain-equivalent yield was recorded under pearl millet + clusterbean (39.2 q/ha) closely followed by pearl millet + greengram. Hand-weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS remained at par with pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha supplemented with hand-weeding once at 45 DAS significantly reduced the weed population (77/m²) and dry-matter production (625 kg/ha) compared to rest of the weed-management practices. The plant height, tillers/plant, ears/plant and ear length increased significantly under all the weed-control measures compared to unweeded control with maximum values under hand-weeding twice. Similarly, maximum values were obtained under hand-weeding twice (22.9 q/ha grain, 61.5 q/ha stover and 41.4 q/ha pearl millet grain-equivalent yields) followed by pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once at 45 days after sowing over weedy check.

Key words : Integrated weed management, Intercropping, Pearl millet, Clusterbean, Cowpea, Greengram, Pendimethalin, Oxadiazon, Growth, Yield

Weeds compete with the crop plants for the essentials of growth, interfere with the utilization of land and water resources, and thus, adversely affect crop production. Weeds deplete 30–40% of applied nutrients from soil and compete with the crop plants for soil moisture and sunlight too (Mani, 1977). Keeping a crop weed-free throughout the crop season is a labour and cost-intensive affair. In order to get desired degree of weed control, the operation has to be repeated but manual operation at several times become difficult due to frequent intermittent rains. Moreover, hand-weeding is laborious, cumbersome and time-consuming besides being costly and economically not feasible in today's intensive agriculture. Chemical weed control is reported to be more effective and economical than mechanical weed control.

The arable land is a precious and scarce resource so, among the options to increase production, the cropping intensity and efficient utilization of available resources seems more feasible over increasing area under cultivation.

But, under rainfed conditions only 1 growing season is available for cropping, so the farmers simultaneously have to raise two or more crops on the same piece of land to derive maximum output per unit of land through intercropping system. Sowing of pearl millet in paired row (30/60 cm) system has been proved advantageous over uniform row system, as it widens the scope of introducing a legume as an intercrop without having any adverse effect on the productivity of the base crop (Gautam, 1989). The present investigation was therefore carried out to increase the production of pearl millet through integrated weed management and intercropping systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the rainy season (*kharif*) 1999 and 2000 at Agronomy Farm S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner (Rajasthan). The soil was loamy sand in texture having bulk density 1.50 mg/m³, with pH 8.2. The soil was poor in organic carbon (0.20%),

^{1,2,3}Present address : ¹Assistant Professor, ARS, Kota, Rajasthan, ²Professor, ARS, Durgapura, Rajasthan, ³Research Associate, ⁴Scientist PDCSR, Modipuram, Uttar Pradesh 250 110

low in available nitrogen (128.00 kg/ha), phosphorus (16.80 kg/ha) and medium in potash (157.50 kg/ha). The experiment consisted of 28 treatment combinations of 4 intercropping systems (sole pearl millet, pearl millet + cowpea, pearl millet + clusterbean and pearl millet + greengram) in main plot and 7 weed-control measures [unweeded control, pendimethalin at 1.0 kg/ha, pendimethalin at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once at 45 days after sowing (DAS), oxadiazon at 1.0 kg/ha, oxadiazon at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once at 45 DAS, hand weeding once at 30 DAS and hand-weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS] in sub-plot was laid out in split-plot design and replicated 4 times. Pearl millet variety 'MH 179' cowpea 'RC 19', clusterbean 'RGC 197' and greengram 'RMG 62' were sown on 12 July 1999 and 17 July 2000, respectively. The seeds were sown in rows 45 cm apart for sole pearl millet. In intercrop situation, pearl millet was sown in paired rows at 30 cm keeping 60 cm distance between 2 pair to adjust 1 row of intercrop (30/60 cm). The plant-to-plant distance of 15 cm in pearl millet and 10 cm in intercrops was maintained. The recommended seed rates of 4 and 16 kg/ha of pearl millet and intercrops, respectively, were used in the experiment. Herbicides were applied as pre-emergence and hand-weeding was done as per treatments. The recommended dose of 60 kg N/ha through urea and 30 kg P_2O_5 /ha through single superphosphate was applied to sole as well as intercrops. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus were drilled before sowing and remaining half dose of nitrogen was top-dressed at 30 days after sowing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Intercropping systems

Population and dry matter of weeds : Intercropping systems significantly reduced the population and dry matter of weeds at harvest compared to sole pearl millet, but statistically remained at par with pearl millet + cowpea and pearl millet + greengram (Table 1). The lowest weed population (117/m²) and dry weight (975 kg/ha) was observed under pearl millet + cowpea followed by pearl millet + greengram, while maximum population (162/m²) and dry matter (1,333 kg/ha) were recorded in sole pearl millet. The lowest population and dry matter of weeds under intercropping systems may be ascribed probably due to higher crop canopy than sole pearl millet. Extensive canopy of intercrops precluded penetration of solar radiation up to the weeds and smothered them leading to lower weed dry weight. Our results corroborate with the findings of Tewari *et al.* (1989).

Growth parameters : Intercropping systems significantly influenced the plant height and tillers/plant of

pearl millet at 60 days and harvest (Table 1). The maximum plant height of pearl millet was recorded under sole pearl millet being at par with pearl millet + clusterbean, significantly higher compared to pearl millet intercropped with cowpea and greengram. Further, pearl millet intercropped with clusterbean being at par with pearl millet + greengram, attained significantly higher plant height over its association with cowpea. The respective increase in plant height at 60 days harvest under sole pearl millet was 9.89 and 6.18 and 10.07 and 6.98% over pearl millet + cowpea and pearl millet + greengram. Similarly, sole pearl millet gave significantly higher tillers/plant over pearl millet intercropped with cowpea, clusterbean and greengram. However, pearl millet intercropped with legumes did not differ significantly with each other in respect to tillers/plant at 60 days and at harvest. Among different intercropping systems, pearl millet + clusterbean recorded the maximum plant height and tillers/plant. Better growth in sole pearl millet might be the result of maximum utilization of nutrients and resources on account of competition-free atmosphere available under sole cropping with added advantage of optimum spacing. Singh and Joshi (1980) also reported similar results.

Yield attributes and yield : Sole pearl millet significantly increased the ear length, grain and stover yields of pearl millet by 8.1, 13.9 and 8.5, 5.4; 10.8 and 5.7; and 5.8, 12.1 and 6.2% over pearl millet + cowpea, pearl millet + clusterbean and pearl millet + greengram intercropping systems, respectively. However, intercropping systems significantly influenced the pearl millet-grain equivalent yield compared to sole pearl millet. Maximum equivalent yield of 39.15 q/ha obtained under pearl millet + clusterbean being at par with pearl millet + greengram (38.89 q/ha) significantly higher over sole pearl millet and pearl millet + cowpea by 46.9 and 0.4%, respectively. Pearl millet intercropped with cowpea, greengram and clusterbean being at par caused significant reduction in weed-competition index by 27.2, 25.9 and 24.3% compared to sole pearl millet. Higher pearl millet grain in sole pearl millet than intercropping system is attributed to more ears/plant and ear length (Table 1). The reduction in grain yield of pearl millet under intercropping seems to be associated with competitive effects due to vigorous and luxuriant vegetative growth of intercrops, whereas higher stover yield of pearl millet was obtained in pure stand evidently owing to increase in growth parameters, viz. plant height and tillers/plant. Least weed competition was recorded under pearl millet + cowpea (15.8%) closely followed by pearl millet + greengram as compared to sole pearl millet (21.7%). Extensive canopy of intercrops precluded penetration of solar radiation up to the weeds and thus smothered them.

Weed-control measures

Population and dry matter of weeds : All the weed-management practices significantly reduced the weed population and dry matter compared to unweeded control. Hand-weeding twice at 30 and 45 days being at par with pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once at 45 days recorded significantly lower weed population (77/m²) and dry matter (625 kg/ha) at harvest than rest of the weed-management practices. Highest weed dry-matter production (2982 kg/ha) was recorded at harvest under unweeded control, while hand-weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS reduced the weed dry matter production by 2,357 kg/ha compared to unweeded control. The increase in population and dry-matter production of weeds to such a high level under unweeded control may be attributed to uninterrupted weed growth throughout the crop season. Pendimethalin absorbed by germinating weeds inhibits cell-division in the meristematic tissues resulting in death of most of the weeds within a few days of their emergence, disruption of microtubule and inhibits synthesis of seedlings followed by chlorosis and inhibition of elongation of leaves therefore, most of the weeds died within a few days of their emergence. These herbicides gave almost season-long control of weeds obviously due to their persistence in soil for a sufficiently long time. The results are in conformity with those reported by Verma and Katyal (1989) in pearl millet.

Growth parameters : Weed-control measures significantly increased the plant height and tillers/plant of the crop over unweeded control at 60 days and harvest (Table 1). Hand-weeding twice, pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once being at par with each other, significantly increased the plant height (174.0 cm) and tillers/plant (3.08) over rest of the weed-control treatments at 60 days and harvest. Hand-weeding once, pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha were at par with respect to growth parameters at 60 days and harvest. A linear relationship in weed-control efficiency and crop growth was noticed under all the weed-management practices. The better growth and development of the plants under different weed-control treatments was the resultant of weed-free environment with lesser competition for the essentials of crop growth. Verma and Katyal (1989) and Kumar and Mohammed (1993) also recorded similar findings in pearl millet.

Yield attributes and yield: The weed-control measures significantly increased the yield attributes and yields over unweeded control (Table 1). Hand-weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS being at par with pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once, resulted in the maximum ears/plant, (2.4), ear length (26.6 cm) as well as grain (22.92 q/ha), stover (61.4/ha) and grain-equivalent yields of pearl millet (41.4 q/ha) yields of pearl millet which were significantly higher over rest of the weed-

Table 1. Effect of intercropping systems and weed management on weeds, growth, yield attributes and yield of pearl millet (pooled data of 2 years)

Treatment	Weed density (No./m ²)	Weed dry matter (kg/ha)	Plant height (cm)	Tillers/ plant	Ears/ plant	Ear length (cm)	Test weight (g)	Yield (q/ha)			Pearlmillet grain equivalent yield (q/ha)	Weed competition index (%)
								Grain	Stover	Inter-crop		
<i>Intercropping system</i>												
Sole pearl millet	162	1333	164.8	3.04	2.1	25.8	6.0	20.77	54.86		20.77	21.7
Pearlmillet + cowpea	117	975	148.2	2.61	1.9	23.7	6.1	17.89	50.18	5.09	35.07	15.8
Pearlmillet + clusterbean	129	1023	158.4	2.68	2.0	24.4	6.1	18.52	51.72	5.34	39.15	16.4
Pearlmillet + greengram	119	988	153.3	2.62	2.0	24.3	6.2	18.25	51.46	4.76	38.89	16.1
CD (P=0.05)	8	56	5	0.11	NS	0.8	NS	0.99	1.89		1.48	0.7
<i>Weed control</i>												
Unweeded control	363	2982	122.4	2.02	1.1	19.7	5.9	10.00	29.60	1.92	15.52	55.9
Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha PE	111	894	151.7	2.70	2.0	24.2	6.1	18.56	51.29	4.98	32.97	18.8
Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha PE + HW once at 45 DAS	761	641	173.0	3.03	2.4	26.2	6.2	22.18	60.19	6.15	39.94	3.1
Oxadiazon 1.0 kg/ha PE	114	913	149.6	2.64	1.9	24.1	6.0	18.11	51.00	4.92	32.32	20.7
Oxadiazon 1.0 kg/ha PE + HW once at 45 DAS	791	649	168.1	3.00	2.4	26.1	6.2	21.56	59.69	5.97	38.81	5.7
HW once at 30 DAS	103	858	154.6	2.70	2.0	24.8	6.1	18.67	51.36	5.08	33.37	18.3
Hand-weeding-twice at 30, 45 DAS	77	625	174.0	3.08	2.4	26.6	6.3	22.92	61.48	6.41	41.41	
CD (P=0.05)	8	62	6.5	0.12	0.1	1.0	NS	1.03	2.07		1.31	0.8

PE, Pre-emergence; HW, hand-weeding; DAS, days after sowing

control measures. However, this treatment also significant over oxadiazon 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once in case of grain yield. It registered an increase of 129.2, 23.5, 26.5, 22.8 and 6.3% in grain yield over unweeded control, pendimethalin at 1.0 kg/ha, oxadiazon at 1.0 kg/ha, hand-weeding once and oxadiazon at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once, respectively. Hand-weeding once, pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha being at par with each other, though proved least effective among the weed-control measures in giving grain yield but were significantly superior to unweeded control.

As none of the treatments was found free of weeds, the highest yield giving treatment, i.e. hand-weeding twice, was treated as weed-free plot to work out the weed competition index in the present study. Weed-control measures significantly reduced the weed-competition index compared to unweeded control. The season-long weed infestation reduced the pearl millet seed yield by 55.9% in comparison to hand-weeding twice. Among the weed-control measures, pendimethalin at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once recorded the minimum weed competition index (3.1%), followed by oxadiazon at 1.0 kg/ha + hand-weeding once (5.7%) which were significantly lower than recorded under rest of the weed-control treatments. The variation in grain and stover yield due to different weed-control treatments is associated with the similar variation in weed dry-matter production. The lowest grain and stover yields recorded in unweeded control plots may be ascribed to the maximum competition of weeds with the crop plants for growth essentials leading to maximum weed competition index (55.9%). On the other hand, the

higher grain yield obtained owing to hand-weeding twice, pendimethalin and oxadiazon each at 1.0 kg/ha accompanied by hand-weeding once was associated with least competition of weeds under these treatments. Further, hand-weeding twice was done manually with the help of hand hoe, which makes the soil porous and creates favourable environment for root growth in addition to effective control of weeds. Higher seed yield, thus, obtained under hand-weeding twice was obviously due to cumulative effect of reduced weed competition and higher value of yield attributes.

The results obtained are in close conformity with the findings of Verma and Katyal (1989) and Kumar and Mohammed (1993).

REFERENCES

- Gautam, R.C. 1989. Suitability of pearl millet cultivars to planting systems and fertility levels under rainfed conditions. *Annals of Agricultural Research* 10(4) : 424-425.
- Kumar, B.V. and Mohammad, S. 1993. Efficacy of herbicide spray as an alternative to manual weeding in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 28 (21) : 218-222.
- Mani, V.S. 1977. Weed research in India, status, problems and strategies. (In) *Proceedings of Weed Science Conference*, held at Hyderabad 1 : 27.
- Singh, P. and Joshi, N.L. 1980. Intercropping of pearl millet in arid areas. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 50 : 338-341.
- Tewari, A.N., Singh, V., Rathi, K.S., Singh, K.K. and Kumar, D. 1989. Studies on integrated weed management in pigeonpea/groundnut based cropping system under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 21 (1,2) : 41-46.
- Verma, O.P.S. and Katyal, S.K. 1989. Studies on weed control in pearl millet. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 34(3) : 367-369.