



## Response of spring-planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) to phosphorus and sulphur application

NAVINIT KUMAR\* AND U.P. SINHA

Sugarcane Research Institute, Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar 848 125

Received: July 2007

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during the spring season of 2003-04 and 2004-05 on sandy loam soil at Pusa, Bihar to study the effect of four levels each of phosphorus (0, 17.5, 35.0 and 52.5 kg/ha) and sulphur (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg/ha) on growth and yield of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). Application of 35.0 kg P/ha to sugarcane recorded significantly higher mean growth (tillers, 175,000/ha; cane length, 221.0 cm; leaf area index, 4.04), yield attributes (millable canes, 131,100/ha; single-cane weight, 570 g; cane diameter, 2.02 cm) and cane yield (73.54 t/ha) over no P. The mean increase in cane yield with application of 52.5, 35.0 and 17.5 kg P/ha over the control was 20.77, 18.83 and 9.97% respectively. Application of 35.0 kg P/ha registered an increase of 4.4% in sucrose content in juice, 31.8% in P uptake and 22.1% in S uptake over the control. The use efficiency of P decreased with corresponding increase in its level. However, S-use efficiency was maximum at higher level of P application. Apparent P recovery was the highest at 35.0 kg P/ha (8.07 and 8.68%), whereas apparent S recovery progressively increased with increase in P levels from 0 to 52.5 kg/ha. The response of sulphur was also pronounced at 80 kg/ha as evident from significant increase in mean cane length (219 cm), leaf area index (4.02), number of millable canes (131,000/ha), single cane weight (566.0 g), cane yield (73.17 t/ha) and sucrose content in juice (17.3%). Sulphur levels significantly improved the uptake of P and S up to 80 kg S/ha and the increase was 21.4 and 22.2% over the control respectively. Application of 120 kg S/ha recorded maximum P-use efficiency (361.6 kg cane/kg S applied) and apparent P recovery (8.75%), but it recorded S-use efficiency (121.6 kg cane/kg S applied) up to 80 kg/ha level only. There was decrease in apparent S recovery with successive increase in S level from 40 to 120 kg S/ha.

**Key words:** Cane yield, Phosphorus, Sulphur, Sugarcane, Sucrose content, Uptake

In spite of the use of high-yielding varieties, potential productivity of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) has not been exploited due to inadequate or imbalanced supply of nutrients. Besides changes in soil and fertilizer management practices have altered the P and S status of the soil and its availability. Sugarcane is a long-duration and exhaustive crop that takes an year or more, in which the available P status of the soil may change during the crop-growing season (Bowen and Anderson, 1992). Phosphorus is one of the most important major nutrients and is closely concerned with vital growth process of sugarcane plant. The requirement of sugarcane plant for P is the highest during the early stages of its development. Therefore, it is affected by phosphorus nutrition and long-term available P reserves in the soil (Korndorfer *et al.*, 1995). Sulphur has emerged as the fourth essential element after NPK, which is absorbed in amount comparable to that of P for the healthy growth and optimum cane yield. Inten-

sive cropping, prolonged use of S-free fertilizers and lack of inadvertent addition of organic manure have depleted the soils of their sulphur reserves, leading to an adverse effect on cane yield. The extent of sulphur deficiency varies from 23 to 31% in alluvial soils of Bihar, and higher magnitude of deficiency was recorded in young alluvium, non-calcareous soil followed by recent alluvium, non-calcareous, non-saline and young alluvium calcareous (Biswas *et al.*, 2004). Sulphur fertilization improved both cane yield and sucrose content in the juice (Shukla and Lal, 2003). Keeping these points in view, the present investigation was undertaken to study the response of the levels of P and S on growth, yield and quality parameters of sugarcane.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during spring season of 2003-04 and 2004-05 at Sugarcane Research Institute farm, Pusa, Bihar. The soil was sandy loam (calciorthents), having 8.4 pH, 0.44% organic C, 29.8% free CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 10.9

\*Corresponding author (Email: navnitsri@siffy.com)

ppm available S; and 199, 19.8 and 100 kg/ha available N, P and K respectively. The treatments consisted of combinations of four levels of P, viz. 0, 17.5, 35.0 and 52.5 kg/ha; and four levels of S, viz. 0, 40, 80 and 120 kg/ha. Each treatment was replicated thrice in randomized block design. The crop received recommended dose of N (150 kg N/ha) and K (50 kg K/ha) through urea and muriate of potash respectively. Phosphorus and S as per treatment were applied in the form of diammonium phosphate and phosphogypsum, respectively. Half of the N after adjusting the N applied through diammonium phosphate and full dose of P, K and S were applied at planting, and the remaining N was top-dressed in two equal splits after the first irrigation and at the time of earthing-up (early July). An early-maturing variety of sugarcane 'CoP 9301' was planted on 22 February 2003 and 20 February 2004, and harvested on 23 January 2004 and 25 January 2005 respectively. The total rainfall during the crop season was 1435.5 mm in 2003-04 and 912.3 mm in 2004-05. Whole cane samples were taken at the time of harvest and analysed for sucrose content in juice and separately for P and S content following Vanado-molybdate-yellow colour method for determining the P-content and turbidimetric method for the S content in plant, and its uptake was computed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth and yield attributes

Application of P had positive and significant influence on the growth and yield attributes of cane (Table 1). Higher cane growth and yield components, viz. tillers and millable cane production, leaf area index, cane length, cane diameter and single cane weight were obtained with increase P level; however, the response was significant up to 35.0 kg P/ha only. Moreover, increasing doses of P also reduced the tiller mortality, though the effects were significant during 2004-05 only. This indicated that besides tiller production, P nutrition also helped in maintaining the retention of tillers. Phosphorus, being integral participant of photosynthetic activities and constituent of the sugar phosphates and adenosine di- and triphosphates (which act as energy currency within the plants and play a key role in donation or transfer of the energy-rich phosphate molecules from ATP to energy requiring substances in the plants) recorded significant increase in tillers production. It eventually resulted in higher number of mature stalks, probably through production of new meristems and improvement in overall metabolic activities in the plants. Kumar and Verma (1999) also reported significant influence of P fertilization on tillers and millable canes. Application of P registered significant increase in cane length, i.e. 52.5 kg P/ha increased it by 16.1 and 14.1% during

Table 1. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of sugarcane

Treatment	Tillers at 125 DAP (x 10 <sup>3</sup> /ha)		Cane length at 270 DAP (cm)		Leaf area index at 270 DAP		Cane diameter at 270 DAP (cm)		Millable canes (x 10 <sup>3</sup> /ha)		Tiller mortality (%)		Single cane weight (g)		Cane yield (t/ha)	
	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05	2003-04	2004-05
<i>P level (kg/ha)</i>																
0	162.0	164.0	191.0	195.0	3.63	3.70	1.84	1.85	119.8	119.5	26.19	27.22	517	524	61.46	62.30
17.5	168.0	171.0	213.0	215.0	3.89	3.90	1.95	1.97	126.4	127.1	24.90	25.53	545	551	67.74	68.36
35.0	173.0	176.0	220.0	221.0	4.03	4.04	2.01	2.02	130.4	131.8	24.59	25.00	568	571	73.11	73.96
52.5	174.0	176.0	221.0	223.0	4.10	4.11	2.03	2.04	131.6	134.4	24.22	23.85	570	572	73.77	75.69
SE <sub>ms</sub> ±	3.5	5.1	4.7	4.6	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.04	2.7	2.8	0.75	0.80	12	12	2.25	2.23
CD (P=0.05)	10.0	11.0	14.0	13.0	0.24	0.24	0.11	0.11	7.6	8.1	NS	2.30	33	34	5.37	5.57
<i>S level (kg/ha)</i>																
0	163.0	166.0	195.0	199.0	3.69	3.75	1.88	1.89	120.6	120.3	26.22	27.68	525	533	63.04	63.44
40	168.0	171.0	213.0	215.0	3.89	3.90	1.94	1.96	127.0	127.4	24.55	25.35	548	550	67.32	68.41
80	173.0	175.0	218.0	220.0	4.01	4.02	2.00	2.01	130.2	132.2	24.55	24.32	564	568	72.54	73.80
120	173.0	175.0	219.0	221.0	4.06	4.08	2.01	2.02	130.5	132.9	24.59	24.25	562	567	73.18	74.27
SE <sub>ms</sub> ±	3.5	5.1	4.7	4.6	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.04	2.7	2.8	0.75	0.80	12	12	2.25	2.33
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	14.0	13.0	0.24	0.24	NS	NS	7.6	8.1	NS	2.30	33	33	5.37	5.57

DAP, days after planting



longed availability of nutrients resulted in higher P concentration in the plants, which markedly increased the P uptake. Sulphur application significantly improved the P uptake. There was an increasing trend of P uptake up to 120 kg S/ha, though it was statistically at par with that of 80 kg S/ha. The maximum P uptake of 16.59 and 17.40 kg P/ha was recorded with 120 kg S/ha, and the minimum of 13.35 and 13.98 kg P/ha under the control during the first and second year, respectively. This was attributable to increase in cane yield with simultaneous increase in P concentration in the plants, which increased the P uptake.

The increase in P level significantly increased the S uptake in each year. Mean values of S uptake were 31.0, 30.1, 27.4 and 24.7 kg/ha at 52.5, 35.0, 17.5 and 0 kg/ha respectively. This might be substantiated by the fact that P nutrition, apart from improving soil properties, mobilized the native soil S. Application of S at 120 kg/ha resulted in higher S uptake, viz. 30.22 and 31.23 kg/ha compared with its minimum uptake, viz. 24.38 and 25.09 kg/ha under no application during 2003-04 and 2004-05 respectively. Choudhary and Sinha (2001) also reported increase in S concentration and its uptake by S-fertilization.

#### Nutrient-use efficiency

An increase in P level by 35.0 and 52.5 kg/ha led to corresponding decrease in P-use efficiency (Table 2), probably because the cane yield did not increase proportionately. However, P application improved the S-use efficiency by 66.01, 53.76 and 27.95% respectively at 52.5, 35.0 and 17.5 kg P/ha over the control. This was mainly due to higher cane production per unit of P applied. The maximum apparent P recovery of 8.07 and 8.68% was obtained with 35.0 kg P/ha during the first and second years respectively. In our study the increase in P recovery with 35.0 kg P/ha compared with lower and higher levels of P indicates that the applied P was nearly sufficient up to 35.0 kg P/ha, beyond which the absorbed P was less effective in increasing cane yield.

The maximum P-use efficiency (kg cane/kg S applied) was recorded with 120 kg S/ha, which was 49.21% more than that of the control. However, the maximum S-use efficiency of 118.69 and 124.57 kg cane/kg S applied was recorded with 80 kg S/ha during the two years respectively. The apparent P recovery of cane increased with the higher level of S application, though the apparent S recovery showed reverse trends.

#### Response function

The relationship between cane yield and levels of P and S was fitted to quadratic response curve, whose coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) was highly significant.

The estimated equations for P and S were:

$$Y = 61.70 + 0.47 X - 0.004 X^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.99^{**})$$

$$Y_1 = 61.16 + 0.16 X - 0.000605 X^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.93^{**})$$

where, 'Y' is the expected cane yield (t/ha) and 'X' the P and S dose (kg/ha). The optimum P and S doses worked out from quadratic equation were 58.75 kg P and 132.23 kg S/ha with a mean cane yield of 75.50 t and 73.74 t/ha respectively. The economic P and S doses were 22.50 kg P/ha and 57.85 kg S/ha with a corresponding cane yield of 70.26 t/ha and 70.39 t/ha. The increases in cane yield at economic P dose (22.50 kg P/ha) and S dose (57.85 kg S/ha) were 8.38 t/ha and 6.95 t/ha, which realized net returns of Rs 6,568 (cane price Rs 783.80/t, P cost Rs 50.77/kg) and Rs 5,447 (cane price Rs 783.80/t, S cost Rs 17.86/kg) compared with 0 kg P and S respectively. However, the net returns per Re investment on P and S were Rs 5.85 and Rs 5.27, respectively.

It was concluded that sugarcane variety 'CoP 9301' can be grown and fertilized with 35 kg P and 80 kg S/ha along with 150 kg N and 50 kg K/ha, so as to achieve higher cane yield and quality under these agro-climatic conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Biswas, B.C., Sarkar, M.C., Tanwar, S.P.S., Das, S. and Kalwe, S.P. 2004. Sulphur deficiency in soils and crop response to fertilizer sulphur in India. *Fertilizer News* 49(10): 13-33.
- Bokhtiar, S.M., Paul, G.C. and Kabir, M.L. 2001. Effect of sulphur fertilization on yield of sugarcane in Chaurland. *Bangladesh Journal of Training and Development* 14(1-2): 57-62.
- Bowen, J.E. and Anderson, D.L. 1992. Sugarcane cropping systems, pp. 143-165. In: *Field Crop Ecosystems of the World*. Copearson (Ed.). Elsevier, London.
- Choudhary, C.N. and Sinha, U.P. 2001. Dry matter production, sugar yield, nitrogen and sulphur recovery by sugarcane as influenced by concentrated organic manure, nitrogen and sulphur fertilization. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 71(1): 31-34.
- Korndorfer, G.H., Anderson, D.L., Portier, K.M. and Hanlon, E.A. 1995. Phosphorus soil test correlation to sugarcane grown on Histosols in the Everglades. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 59: 1655-1661.
- Kumar, V. and Verma, K.S. 1999. Influence of phosphorus application on soil available phosphorus, yield and juice quality of sugarcane grown on P deficient soil. *Indian Sugar* 49(8): 579-588.
- Kumar, V., Dang, Y.P., Singh, J., Mehta, A.S. and Dhawan. 2004. Identification of phosphorus efficient sugarcane genotypes based on cane and sugar yield efficiency index. *Indian Sugar* 53: 823-831.
- Matin, M.A., Oya, K., Shinjo, T. and Horiguchi, T. 1997. Growth, yield and quality of sugarcane as affected by soil phosphorus levels. *Japanese Journal of Tropical Agriculture* 41(2): 52-59.
- Shukla, S.K. and Lal, Menhi. 2003. Growth, yield and quality of sugarcane in relation to sulphur nutrition. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology* 48(1&2): 96-98.