

Yield, quality, nutrient uptake and economics of spring sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) as influenced by balanced fertilization in clay loam soils of Rajasthan

B.S. MEENA¹, BALDEV RAM², R.S. NAROLIA³ AND PRATAP SINGH⁴

Agricultural Research Station, Agriculture University, Umedganj, Kota, Rajasthan 324 001

Received : April 2015; Revised accepted : July 2015

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted from 2011–12 to 2013–14 at Agricultural Research Station, Kota, Rajasthan, to find out suitable nutrient-management practices for sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). The experiment was consisted of 13 nutrient management practices, viz. control, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF), $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$, $N_{150}P_{50}+S_{25}+Zn_4$ kg/ha as soil-test recommendation (STR) and FYM @ 20 t/ha were laid out in randomized block design with 3 replications. Balanced fertilization of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha had significant influence on tillers, number of millable canes (126,060/ha), single cane weight (880 g), cane yield (91.70 t/ha), commercial cane sugar yield (11.96 t/ha) and net profit (₹ 90,974/ha) over rest of the treatments being on a par with treatments of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$, $N_{150}P_{50}+S_{25}+Zn_4$ kg/ha. Maximum nutrient uptake of N, P and K (265.3, 21.3 and 260 kg/ha) was recorded with application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha over rest of the treatments and it was observed higher positive net gain of N, P, K and S (8.5, 3.4, 16 and 3.7 kg/ha) was recorded over initial status of soil. The result showed that balanced application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha may be recommended for obtaining higher millable cane yield, net return and sustained soil health in spring planted in clay loam soils of Rajasthan.

Key words : Commercial cane sugar, Nutrient management, Nutrient uptake, Net returns, Sugarcane yield

Sugarcane is an important crop of India, which is cultivated in an area of about 5.04 million ha with an average productivity of 71.67 tonnes/ha (FAO, 2013). The average productivity of sugarcane in the state has been around 73.10 t/ha (Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, 2013). The development of modern agricultural technologies, intensification of cropping system and changed cropping pattern without balanced fertilization has also led to depletion of major as well as micro-nutrients from the soil (Prasad, 2006). Fertilizer use in India is inadequate, imbalanced and is in favour of nitrogen application. Escalating prices of fertilizers in the market has become a cause of concern to sustain the sugarcane productivity. Imbalanced nutrient-use especially NPK and limited micronutrients have created concern in India, as it may affect overall sugarcane productivity. Nitrogen deficiency may

decrease cane yield, while excess N availability during the ripening period reduces the juice quality (Tabayoyong and Robeniol, 1962). Response of sugarcane to applied nitrogen and phosphorus was higher than to potassium. In addition to major nutrients, smaller quantities of secondary and micronutrients such as sulphur, zinc, iron and manganese do enhance the yield as well as quality of sugarcane. Sugarcane is an exhaustive crop which removes about 205, 24, 229, 30, 3.5, 1.2, 0.6 and 0.2 kg/ha of N, P, K, S, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu, respectively, from the soil for the cane yield of 100 t/ha (Singh *et al.*, 2007). Under the present situation application of nutrient elements especially NPKS and Zn is essential for increasing sugarcane yield and maintaining crop production at higher level. Further, combined application of major nutrients along with sulphur and zinc resulted in higher yield and quality of sugarcane (Shukla, 2007). Considering these facts, the present study was, therefore, undertaken to evaluate the effect of different combinations of NPK along with S, Zn, Fe and Mn in spring-planted sugarcane on clay loam soil of south east Rajasthan.

¹Corresponding author Email: bhawanidamria@gmail.com

^{1,2,3}Assistant Professor (Agronomy), ⁴Professor (Agronomy) and Zonal Director Research, Sukhdham Colony, Baran Road, Kota, Rajasthan 324 001

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted on clay loam soil during the spring seasons for three consecutive cropping seasons in 2011–12, 2012–13 and 2013–14 at Agricultural Research Station, Kota, to study the response of balanced fertilization on growth, yield, nutrient uptake, quality, fertility status of soil and economics of sugarcane. The average annual rainfall received during the crop seasons was about 865 mm. The experimental soil was clay loam with a pH of 8.10, ECE 0.25 dS/m², medium in organic carbon (5.4 g/kg), available nitrogen (362 kg/ha), phosphorus (23.50 kg/ha) and high in potassium (283 kg/ha), iron (13.24 mg/kg), manganese (20.78 mg/kg) and low in sulphur (9.60 mg/kg) and zinc (0.55 mg/kg) contents. Thirteen treatments, viz. control, N₂₀₀ (@200kg/ha), N₂₀₀P₆₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ as recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Zn₅, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Fe₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Mn₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁+Mn₁, N₁₅₀P₅₀+S₂₅+Zn₄ kg/ha as soil-test-based recommendation (STR) and FYM @ 20 t/ha were tested in a randomized block design with 3 replications. The nutrients especially NPKS Zn Fe and Mn were supplied through urea, single superphosphate, muriate of potash, elemental sulphur, zinc sulphate, iron sulphate and manganese sulphate fertilizers respectively. Recommended dose of N₂₀₀P₆₀ and K₄₀ fertilizers for sugarcane was applied as per treatments; 40 kg/ha elemental sulphur, 25 kg/ha zinc sulphate, 5 kg/ha iron sulphate and 5 kg/ha manganese sulphate were applied at the time of planting as per treatments. Full PK and one-fourth N were applied basal and remaining N was top-dressed in 3 equal splits within 120 days after planting. Sugarcane variety 'CoPK 05191' was planted at 75 cm row distance, keeping 3 bud-ded 4 setts/m row length in the third week of February during all the cropping seasons. All the recommended agronomic practices were done throughout the crop season. Plot size for each treatment was 6.0 m × 4.5 m = 27.0 m². Harvesting was done manually during the third week of February. Initial and post-harvest soil samples after 3 years collected from 0–15 cm depth were dried, processed and analyzed for oxidizable organic carbon, N, P, K, S, Zn, Fe and Mn using standard procedures. Growth, yield attributes, cane yield, quality parameters and nutrient uptake were worked out as per standard statistical procedures. Economics was worked out based on pooled yield data and considering market price of input and output.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth and yield attributes

Application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ (N, P and K @ 200, 60 and 40 kg/ha) along with S₄₀+Zn₅ (S + Zn @ 40 and 5 kg/ha) had significant effect on growth and yield attributes, viz.

tillers, cane length, cane girth, number of millable canes and single cane weight. However, the application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ along with S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁ kg/ha resulted in significant increase in germination (46.72%) over rest of the treatments and it was on a par with N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Zn₅, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁+Mn₁ treatments (Table 1). Significantly higher germination with balanced nutrition of N, P, K, S, Zn and Fe was primarily owing to the improved fertility status of soil. Millable canes, cane length, cane girth and single cane weight were significantly higher with the application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅ kg/ha over the control, N₂₀₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀ and FYM @ 20 t/ha except cane weight and the treatment was on a par with rest of the treatments (N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Zn₅, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Fe₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+Mn₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁, N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁+Mn₁, N₁₅₀P₅₀+S₂₅+Zn₄ kg/ha). However, application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅ along with Fe and Mn did not show any significant effect on growth and yield attributes over N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ along with S₄₀+Zn₅ kg/ha. It was observed that Zn and high soil P levels also reduced Fe uptake in treatment combinations of NPKS Zn Fe and NPKS Zn Fe Mn. Shahi *et al.* (2000) reported also antagonistic relationship between Fe and Mn as well as Zn and P. Tiller population at 90 and 120 days after planting (DAP) was maximum with the application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ along with S₄₀+Zn₅ kg/ha (Table 1). Significantly higher values were obtained over the remaining treatments and at par with N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁ and N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+Fe₁+Mn₁. Balanced dose of NPK along with S₄₀+Zn₅ also reduced the tiller mortality, indicating the besides production of millable canes. Higher nutrition level helped in maintaining retention of tillers. The increase in the rate of physiological processes in the plant system increased tiller formation. Navnit Kumar (2012) also reported similar results. Desired NPK level created better nutritional environment in soil–plant system which resulted in significant improvement in millable canes and individual cane weight. Synergistic relationship between nitrogen and phosphorus and nitrogen with potassium are also well known. Shukla (2007) also found improvement in tiller, millable canes and cane yield with higher doses of NPK.

Yield and quality

All the nutrient-management treatments significantly improved green top and trash yield as well as cane yield over the control (Tables 1 and 2). The maximum top green yield produced under the application of N₂₀₀P₆₀K₄₀ along with S₄₀+Zn₅ kg/ha was significantly superior to the control, N₂₀₀, N₂₀₀P₆₀ and FYM @ 20 t/ha, and at par with rest of the nutrient-management treatments. Higher green top yield in the referred treatment was the result of higher tiller

population and leaf area. It produced 69.42% higher shoot population than the control. Our results confirmed the findings of Tyagi *et al.* (2011). The highest trash yield was obtained with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$, which was significantly higher than all the treatments except $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$ and $N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$ kg/ha. The maximum cane yield obtained with of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha, was significantly higher than the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, $N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$

kg/ha and FYM @ 20 t/ha, and was on a par with rest of the treatments. It was noted that cane yield under various nutrient-management treatments was significantly higher than the control. This could be because of continuous and balanced supply of NPK along with S and Zn and thus increased the absorption of plant nutrients. The increase in cane yield attributed to higher number of millable canes, individual cane length and cane weight. However, addition of Fe and Mn nutrient along with NPKSZn did not show any significant response in cane yield over all the treat-

Table 1. Effect of plant nutrient treatments on growth, yield attributes and yield of spring-planted sugarcane (pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Germination (%) at 35 DAP	Tillers population ($\times 10^3$ /ha)		Cane length (cm)	NMC ($\times 10^3$ /ha)	Cane girth (cm)	Single cane weight (g)	Green top yield (t/ha)	Trash yield (t/ha)
		90 DAP	120 DAP						
Control	34.9	117.2	133.8	178.7	101.2	7.3	670	12.1	5.8
N_{200}	40.5	137.8	148.7	207.4	114.1	8.6	725	15.6	6.7
$N_{200}P_{60}$	40.9	143.3	154.8	213.8	115.2	8.8	750	17.1	7.8
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF)	40.7	145.1	154.9	221.8	117.8	9.6	840	17.7	8.0
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$	42.9	146.3	158.1	224.5	118.7	9.7	855	18.6	8.5
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$	43.2	148.2	162.6	223.8	116.4	9.3	860	18.7	8.6
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$	42.6	150.3	163.5	229.1	118.6	9.9	850	17.8	7.7
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$	42.5	144.6	157.3	225.8	115.3	9.7	845	17.5	7.3
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$	45.9	159.7	174.3	244.2	126.1	10.2	880	20.5	8.9
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$	46.7	158.4	173.0	230.4	117.9	9.5	865	19.4	9.2
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$	45.0	158.8	172.0	238.1	113.0	9.6	870	20.0	9.0
$N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR)	41.8	145.7	158.5	221.1	110.3	9.6	845	17.7	7.9
FYM @ 20 t/ha	36.7	137.5	156.1	188.7	101.7	7.7	800	15.8	7.6
SEm±	1.33	4.92	4.10	8.33	3.94	0.32	42	1.08	0.51
CD (P=0.05)	3.70	14.82	9.39	12.30	10.92	0.86	120	3.05	1.45

RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer; STR, soil test recommendation; FYM, farm yard manure; NMC, number of millable canes; DAP, days after planting

Table 2. Yield, quality and economics of spring-planted sugarcane as influenced by plant nutrient treatments (pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Cane yield (t/ha)	Brix (%)	Sucrose (%)	Purity	CCS (%)	CCS yield (t/ha)	Cost of cultivation ($\times 10^3$ ₹/ha)	Net returns ($\times 10^3$ ₹/ha)	Benefit: cost ratio
Control	58.1	20.1	17.6	87.3	12.0	6.99	93.5	37.0	1.40
N_{200}	74.1	21.2	18.7	88.2	12.9	9.55	96.1	64.5	1.67
$N_{200}P_{60}$	77.8	20.5	17.9	87.6	12.3	9.59	99.1	64.1	1.65
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF)	80.4	21.0	18.5	88.0	12.8	10.24	100.3	65.7	1.66
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$	84.7	21.6	19.1	88.5	13.2	11.19	101.7	75.5	1.74
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$	85.7	21.8	19.3	88.5	13.4	10.91	101.3	77.8	1.77
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$	84.9	21.2	18.7	88.3	12.9	10.94	101.3	76.6	1.76
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$	79.5	21.6	19.1	88.4	13.2	10.48	101.1	67.7	1.67
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$	91.7	21.3	18.8	88.2	13.0	11.96	103.7	91.0	1.88
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$	88.2	20.9	18.4	87.9	12.7	11.15	104.7	84.3	1.81
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$	88.7	20.7	18.1	87.5	12.5	11.13	105.6	80.8	1.77
$N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR)	78.9	21.1	18.6	88.1	12.8	10.12	99.6	64.9	1.65
FYM @ 20 t/ha	75.2	21.0	18.5	88.0	12.8	9.59	109.5	41.6	1.38
SEm±	4.31	0.56	0.57	1.25	0.31	0.73	-	2.86	0.13
CD (P=0.05)	11.96	1.68	1.72	NS	0.87	2.02	-	8.06	0.37

RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer; STR, soil test recommendation; FYM, farm yard manure; CCS, commercial cane sugar

ments except control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$ and FYM @ 20 t/ha. Combination of NPK along with S and Zn increased the cane yield because of better nutrient-supplying capacity of the soil. Hence higher number of tillers converted into number of millable canes, which lead to higher cane yield. The results are in agreement with the findings of Khan *et al.* (2005), Thakur *et al.* (2007) and Navnit Kumar (2012).

Application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha brought significant variation in °brix and sucrose, but did not significantly influence juice purity. Application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with S_{40} kg/ha significantly increased °brix and sucrose over the control and was on a par with rest of the treatments. The effect of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha on sugar yield was significantly higher over the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$ and FYM @ 20 t/ha. The percentage increase in the sugar yields by the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha was 71.10, 25.24 and 24.71 during first, second and third cropping seasons respectively. The minimum sugar yield was recorded when sugarcane was grown without fertilizer. The highest CCS (13.22%) was obtained with the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$ which was significantly higher over the control and $N_{200}P_{60}$ and at par with rest of the treatments. Thus, cane yield and commercial cane sugar yield (CCS) were also higher at this level. The higher cane yield contributed larger share in improving CCS than cane-quality parameters. The higher dry-matter accumulation in N, P, K, S and Zn added plots was primarily responsible for

higher sugar yield. Vijaya *et al.* (2007) and Navnit Kumar (2012) also reported positive effect of nutrients on sugar yield.

Economics

The economic analysis of different treatments indicated that net returns and benefit: cost ratio were influenced by nutrient-management treatments. There were differences in cost of cultivation and net returns owing to different variable costs. Application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha fetched significantly higher net returns over remaining treatments except $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$. Benefit: cost ratio of 1.88 was significantly superior under the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha over the control and FYM @ 20 t/ha, however rest of fertility levels were statistically at par with each others. It showed increase of 145.61% in net returns and 34.29% in benefit: cost ratio over the control, indicating the response of NPK along with S and Zn was found positive response to N, P, and K along with S and Zn. However, the rate of increase in net returns was very less with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$ kg/ha, indicating the non-responsiveness and the non suitability of these treatments for increasing sugarcane production. Maximum cane production cost recorded with FYM @ 20 t/ha was due to higher cost of FYM and less addition of nutrients, whereas minimum production cost and net returns recorded in the control

Table 3. Balance sheet of soil N and P as influenced by different nutrient management in spring-planted sugarcane (pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Nitrogen (kg/ha)						Phosphorus (kg/ha)					
	Initial soil N status	N added	N uptake	Expected balance (a+b)-c	Soil N status after harvest	Net gain over initial status (e-a)	Initial soil P status	P added	P uptake	Expected balance (a+b)-c	Soil P status after harvest	Net gain over initial status (e-a)
	a	b	c	d	e	(e-a)	a	b	c	d	e	(e-a)
Control	362	-	150.2	211.8	302.3	-59.7	23.5	-	12.4	11.1	20.5	-3.0
N_{200}	362	200	230.4	331.6	355.5	-6.5	23.5	-	14.7	8.8	21.4	-2.1
$N_{200}P_{60}$	362	200	255.1	306.0	357.4	-4.6	23.5	60	17.4	66.1	24.7	-1.2
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF)	362	200	257.5	304.5	360.2	-1.8	23.5	60	18.5	65.0	26.5	+3.0
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$	362	200	260.7	301.3	365.5	+3.5	23.5	60	19.2	64.3	26.8	+3.3
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$	362	200	262.5	299.5	367.4	+5.4	23.5	60	19.8	63.7	26.5	+3.0
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$	362	200	258.9	303.2	360.6	-1.4	23.5	60	17.9	65.6	21.4	- 2.1
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$	362	200	250.7	311.3	358.4	-3.6	23.5	60	17.2	66.3	21.7	- 1.8
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$	362	200	265.3	296.7	370.5	+8.5	23.5	60	21.3	62.2	26.9	+3.4
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$	362	200	250.4	311.6	365.2	+3.2	23.5	60	20.1	63.4	22.4	+1.1
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$	362	200	253.0	309.0	362.8	+0.8	23.5	60	20.2	63.3	22.8	- 0.8
$N_{150}P_{50}+S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR)	362	150	248.2	264.0	325.4	-36.5	23.5	50	20.5	53.0	23.6	+0.1
FYM @ 20 t/ha	362	100	217.5	244.5	320.6	-41.4	23.5	40	20.7	42.8	24.8	+1.3
SEM±	-	-	3.4	-	16.2	-	-	-	0.9	-	2.08	-
CD (P=0.05)	-	-	9.6	-	49.3	-	-	-	2.4	-	5.25	-

RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer; STR, soil test recommendation; FYM, farm yard manure

plot. The combined effect of plant nutrients played very significant role owing to their synergistic effect and enhanced the partitioning of photosynthates in vegetative and reproductive parts that go simultaneously in the later growth phases. The increase in yield attributes and yield fetched higher net returns and benefit: cost ratio. The results confirm the findings of Tyagi *et al.* (2011), Navnit Kumar (2012) and Kumar *et al.* (2014).

Nutrient uptake and balance in soil

Sugarcane is a highly nutrient-exhaustive crop, as evident from nutrient removal data presented in Table 3, 4 and 5. Significantly higher nutrients uptake under various nutrient-management treatments over the control indicated availability of these nutrients in labile pool to support the crop growth and yield. Of the nutrient-management treatments, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ showed significantly higher N uptake over all the remaining treatments except $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$. The net N balance was found to be more negative under no-fertilizer treatment (-59.7 kg/ha), whereas it was more positive under the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ (+8.5 kg/ha) except all other treatments. The uptake of P was significantly more with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha than the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$. The net gain over initial P status was slightly higher (+0.1 to 3.4 kg/ha) under the treatment receiving P nutrient except no

use of P fertilizer treatment as well as use of P along with Fe and Mn nutrients treatments, indicating balanced amount of nutrients essential for soil health sustainability. A net gain of +3.4 kg P/ha was found with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha, whereas it was the most negative in no-fertilization treatment (-3.0 kg/ha). The increase in uptake of phosphorus was due to the complexing properties of organic material which prevented the precipitation and fixation of nutrient and kept them in soluble form. These results are in accordance with those of Thakur *et al.* (2013).

Maximum uptake of K was observed with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha which was significantly higher over the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$ and FYM @ 20 t/ha and at par with rest of treatments. The highest net gain of +16 kg K/ha over initial soil K status (283 kg/ha) was recorded with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha treatment and other potassium applied treatments except use of Fe and Mn nutrients along with NPK. While more negative net gain -56 kg K/ha was observed in the soil under no fertilization. The magnitude of increase in net gain was the maximum under the application of potassium fertilizer each year. Soil K balance had also negative values in all the treatments receiving no-potassium application and K application along with Fe and Mn nutrients. This results showed that K uptake exceeded the initial and without added amount of K leaved higher negative K balance (-39 to -56 kg/ha). The depletion of nutrient reserves

Table 4. Balance sheet of soil K and S as influenced by nutrient-management practices in spring-planted sugarcane (pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Potassium (kg/ha)						Sulphur (kg/ha)					
	Initial soil K status	K added	K uptake	Expected balance (a+b)-c	Soil K status after harvest	Net gain over initial status (e-a)	Initial soil S status	S added	S uptake	Expected balance (a+b)-c	Soil S status after harvest	Net gain over initial status (e-a)
	a	b	c	d	e	(e-a)	a	b	c	d	e	(e-a)
Control	283	-	190	93	227	-56	9.6	-	5.1	4.5	7.5	-2.1
N_{200}	283	-	210	73	237	-46	9.6	-	5.5	4.1	8.4	-1.2
$N_{200}P_{60}$	283	-	218	65	244	-39	9.6	-	6.2	3.4	7.7	-1.9
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF)	283	40	250	73	298	+15	9.6	-	7.5	2.1	8.8	-0.8
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$	283	40	247	76	292	+9	9.6	40	14.7	34.7	12.5	+2.9
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$	283	40	246	77	295	+12	9.6	-	7.3	2.3	10.5	-0.4
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$	283	40	248	75	297	+14	9.6	-	8.5	1.1	8.4	-1.2
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$	283	40	240	83	282	-1	9.6	-	7.8	1.8	8.5	-1.1
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$	283	40	260	63	299	+16	9.6	40	16.3	33.3	13.3	+3.7
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$	283	40	251	72	274	-9	9.6	40	15.2	34.0	11.2	+1.60
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$	283	40	249	74	270	-13	9.6	40	15.6	35.2	11.3	+1.70
$N_{150}P_{50}+S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR)	283	-	245	38	260	-23	9.6	25	14.4	20.2	10.2	+0.6
FYM @ 20 t/ha	283	40	220	103	288	+5	9.6	-	8.6	1.4	11.2	+1.6
SEm±	-	-	5.64	-	8.12	-	-	-	0.80	-	1.55	-
CD (P=0.05)	-	-	15.91	-	24.44	-	-	-	2.26	-	4.68	-

RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer; STR, soil test recommendation; FYM, farm yard manure

from the soil is often a hidden form of land degradations and it was significantly influenced by the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha over all the remaining treatments except $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$ and $N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR). Sulphur loss was recorded under the no-sulphur application with NPK. Maximum negative gain of S was noted in the control treatment. However, the maximum positive net gain of S (+ 3.7 kg/ha) over initial S status (9.6 kg S/ha) was also recorded under the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha.

The positive soil NPK and S balance were observed in the plots receiving NPK and S fertilization in plant crop each year. The results further indicated that among the major nutrients, relatively higher uptake of N was recorded followed by K and P irrespective of treatments. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Thakur *et al.* (2012) and Kumar *et al.* (2014). It was due to fact that added nutrients increased the N, P, K and S concentration in sugarcane by providing balanced nutritional environment inside the plant and higher photosynthetic efficiency which favoured higher dry-matter accumulation resulted in more uptakes of N, P, K and S by sugarcane.

Available nutrients status in soil

Available status of NPK and S at the end of crop cycle revealed that a positive effect of nutrient-management strategies was observed. The soil organic carbon, soil pH and ECe values recorded in the different treatments did not vary significantly among them. However, the highest or-

ganic carbon content (0.50%) and lowest soil pH and ECe were observed under the treatment of application of FYM @ 20 t/ha. This was owing to improvement in soil health with the application of FYM. Available Zn, Fe and Mn were found the highest in treatment of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, respectively, which were significantly higher over the control except rest of the treatments. However, Zn value of the best treatment was at par with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$, Fe value with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$, $N_{200}P_{60}$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$ and Mn value with $N_{200}P_{60}$. Non-significant variation in residual soil-nutrient status statistically varies, whereas all the treatments received same amount of NPK (Table 5). Application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha showed significantly improvement in available of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur in the soil over the control and was at par with rest of the treatments. The highest available N was recorded with $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha, which was significantly higher than the control except rest of the treatments. It was declined in N-deficient treatment as well as in use of N with Fe and Mn. The available soil phosphorus content increased with all P-containing treatments and declined to critical level in all phosphorus-deficient treatments as well as use of phosphorus in combination of Fe and Mn containing treatments. The highest available phosphorus was recorded with the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha which was significantly higher over the control and N_{200} treatment and statistically at par with rest of the treatments. The post-harvest available P content of the soil indicated a significant and progressive increase with corre-

Table 5. Effect of nutrients management treatments on soil properties and available soil nutrients after harvest of spring-planted sugarcane (pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Organic carbon (%)	Soil pH	ECe (dS/m ²)	Available soil nutrients (mg/kg)		
				Zn	Fe	Mn
Control	0.47	8.00	0.30	0.40	14.15	20.70
N_{200}	0.46	8.07	0.32	0.42	15.10	22.32
$N_{200}P_{60}$	0.47	8.05	0.29	0.47	16.20	23.27
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ (RDF)	0.48	8.10	0.35	0.49	17.34	27.50
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}$	0.48	8.09	0.31	0.50	17.10	23.40
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Zn_5$	0.48	8.10	0.30	0.60	16.15	24.50
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$	0.47	7.98	0.36	0.46	20.16	24.30
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$	0.46	8.15	0.35	0.45	16.40	28.15
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5$	0.49	8.12	0.32	0.57	18.70	23.35
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$	0.47	8.14	0.33	0.52	19.50	27.20
$N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$	0.46	8.23	0.32	0.55	18.20	28.05
$N_{150}P_{50}S_{25}+Zn_4$ (STR)	0.48	8.15	0.31	0.46	16.50	25.20
FYM @ 20 t/ha	0.50	7.95	0.27	0.47	16.75	25.80
SEM±	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.06	1.25	1.60
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	0.14	3.76	4.82

RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer; STR, soil test recommendation; FYM, farm yard manure

sponding P-applied treatments. It was owing to addition of adequate amount of P through fertilizer. Besides supplying proportionate balance amount to sugarcane, considerable amount of unutilized P was left in the soil. It was found that the available soil potassium content was in medium to high range. The higher available potassium and sulphur in post-harvest soil was recorded significantly under the application of $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}$ along with $S_{40}+Zn_5$ kg/ha over the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+S_{40}+Zn_5+Fe_1+Mn_1$ and $N_{150}P_{50}+S_{25}+Zn_4$ for potassium and the control, N_{200} , $N_{200}P_{60}$, $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Fe_1$ and $N_{200}P_{60}K_{40}+Mn_1$ treatment for sulphur and at par with rest of the treatments. It must be assumed that either K was released from the reserves held in the clay inter-layers, or some other form of K has been taken up by sugarcane. The highest improvement in the soil-fertility status with 100% NPK along with S and Zn had positive interaction of applied nutrients in balanced amount which solubilize the soil-nutrient reserve and make it available to crop. Positive balance of major nutrients (N, P and K) in soil at the end of crop cycle revealed soil fertility enriching effect of the nutrient-management treatments, indicating adequate availability of N, P and K nutrients to ensure remunerative crop production.

It was concluded that application of NPK (200:60:40 kg/ha) along with 40 kg S and 5 kg Zn/ha may be recommended to obtain higher values of yield attributes, millable canes and top green, trash and cane yields of sugarcane, with maximum net returns with benefit: cost ratio. Similarly, sustained soil fertility was also observed in higher nutrient uptake and gains (N, P and K) and more available nutrient status of N, P, K and S. Hence this treatment showed better economic output, improved soil health in terms of positive nutrient balance under clay loam soils of humid south- east plain zone of Rajasthan.

REFERENCES

- Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, Rajasthan, 2013. Rajasthan Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, Rajasthan, pp. 87.
- FAO Statistics. 2013. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, Rome. (www.faostat.fao).
- Khan, I.M., Khatri, A., Nizamani, G.H., Siddiqui, M.A., Raza, S. and Dahar, N.A. 2005. Effect of NPK fertilizers on the growth of sugarcane clone AEC86-347 developed at NIA, Tando Jam, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **37**(2): 355–60.
- Kumar, V., Kumar, S., Kumar, S., Singh, O. and Kumar, V. 2014. Effect of fertility levels and weed-management practices on yield potential, nutrient uptake and economics of spring-planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **59**(1): 139–44.
- Navnit Kumar. 2012. Productivity, quality and nutrient balance in spring sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrid complex) under organic and inorganic nutrition. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **57**(1): 68–73.
- Prasad, R. 2006. Towards sustainable agriculture in India. *National Academy Sciences Letters* **9**(1 and 2): 41–44.
- Shahi, H.N., Shrivastava, A.K. and Sinha, O.K. 2000. *Fifty Years of Sugarcane Research in India*, pp. 297–27. Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.
- Shukla, S.K. 2007. Growth, yield and quality of high sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) genotype as influenced due to planting seasons and fertility levels. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **77**(9): 569–73.
- Singh, K.P., Srivastava, T.K., Singh, P.N. and Suman, A. 2007. Enhancing soil fertility, microbial activity and sugarcane productivity through organics in subtropical system. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **77**(2): 84–87.
- Tabayoyong, F.T. and Robeniol, M. 1962. Yield response of sugarcane fertilizers. *Proceeding ISSCT* **11**: 177–85.
- Thakur, S.K., Alam, M. and Umesh, U.N. 2007. Long term effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and sustainability of sugarcane in calciorient. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology* **22**(1 and 2): 9–13.
- Thakur, S.K., Jha, C.K., Alam, M. and Singh, V.P. 2012. Productivity, quality and soil fertility of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. complex hybrid) plant and ratoon grown under organic and conventional farming system. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **82**(10): 896–99.
- Thakur, S.K., Kumari, G., Alam, M. and Singh, V.P. 2013. Improving soil fertility and yield of sugarcane through integrated application of NPK and compost in calcareous soils of Bihar. *Journal of Sugarcane Technology* **28**(1): 12–15.
- Tyagi, S., Saini, S.K. and Kumar, V. 2011. Yield and soil nutrient balance of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) plant-ratoon system under integrated nutrient-management. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **56**(3): 247–53.
- Vijaya Shankar Babu, Reddy, M.M., Subramanyam, C.A. and Balaguravaiah, D. 2007. Effect of integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizer on productivity of sugarcane ratoon in Bangladesh. *Sugarcane Technology* **9**(2 and 3): 20–23.