

ha at 90 days. This may be owing to less number of plant population under the lower seed rate, which resulted in better root development and formation of root nodules. Hand-weeding twice recorded the maximum number of nodules/plant.

The seed yield decreased with an increase in seed rate from 75 to 125 kg/ha (Table 1). The results are in agreement with those of Ahlawat *et al.* (1985). All the weed-control treatments proved superior to the unweeded check treatment. However, hand-weeding at 25 and 45 days was found most promising in increasing the grain yield of chickpea as compared with alone application of

fluchloralin @ 1.0 kg/ha and in combination with 1 hand-weeding at 25 days after sowing. Malik *et al.* (1988) also reported similar results. Uncontrolled weeds reduced the crop yield by 47.3 % compared with hand-weedings at 25 and 45 days.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahlawat, I. P. S., Saraf, C. S. and Singh, A. 1985. Effect of cultivars blending, seed rate and phosphate fertilization on chickpea. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 30 : 373-376.
- Malik, R. K., Balyan, R. S. and Bhan, V. M. 1988. Effect of herbicides and planting dates on weeds in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 20 (2) : 75-81.

*Indian J. Agron.* 40 (2) : 313-316 (June 1995)

### Effect of soil ameliorants on production potential of gram (*Cicer arietinum*) in salt-affected environment and their residual effect on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)

G. P. KHURANA, G. P. BHAYA AND P. P. SHARMA

*Agricultural Research Station, Rajasthan Agriculture University, Navgaon 301 025*

Received: April 1993

Crop growth and yield are adversely affected by saline-alkaline soil and water. A sizeable area in the flood-prone Eastern Plains Zone of Rajasthan faces problems of saline-alkaline soil and irrigation water. Gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a preferred rainy-season pulse crop. Hence an experiment was conducted during 1988-91 to study the effect of easily available soil ameliorants, viz. gypsum and farmyard manure, for increasing the production of gram and their residual effect on succeeding crop

of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. emend. Stuntz] at Navgaon.

In gram ('RSG 2') the treatments were 3 levels of gypsum (0, half and full gypsum requirement in finely ground form) and 3 levels of farmyard manure (0, 10 and 20 tonnes/ha) applied 3 weeks prior to sowing and only once at the start of the experiment. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with 3 replications. The residual effects of the 2 soil ameliorants were assessed in terms of production poten-

**Table 1.** Yield attributes and yield of gram and pearl millet as affected by gypsum and organic manure (average data of 3 years)

| Treatment                          | Gram           |                  |                               |                                 | Pearlmillet             |                       |                |                           | Grain yield (q/ha) | Straw yield (q/ha) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                    | Pods/<br>plant | Grains/<br>plant | 1,000-<br>grain<br>weight (g) | Pooled<br>grain<br>yield (q/ha) | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Ear<br>length<br>(cm) | Grains/<br>ear | 1,000-grain<br>weight (g) |                    |                    |
| <i>Gypsum (tonnes/ha)</i>          |                |                  |                               |                                 |                         |                       |                |                           |                    |                    |
| 0                                  | 28.2           | 43.7             | 138.6                         | 7.91                            | 176.3                   | 18.1                  | 456.7          | 4.9                       | 13.81              | 52.82              |
| 2                                  | 34.3           | 59.8             | 141.9                         | 9.46                            | 199.5                   | 22.6                  | 653.4          | 6.2                       | 15.27              | 58.44              |
| 4                                  | 38.9           | 67.4             | 143.8                         | 11.14                           | 206.4                   | 25.2                  | 749.3          | 6.8                       | 17.03              | 62.19              |
| CD (P = 0.05)                      | 3.1            | 2.7              | 1.6                           | 1.35                            | 5.3                     | 1.3                   | 31.2           | 0.8                       | 0.21               | 1.24               |
| <i>Farmyard manure (tonnes/ha)</i> |                |                  |                               |                                 |                         |                       |                |                           |                    |                    |
| 0                                  | 29.6           | 45.1             | 138.2                         | 8.58                            | 180.8                   | 17.7                  | 538.5          | 4.7                       | 14.10              | 50.42              |
| 10                                 | 34.8           | 58.6             | 142.1                         | 9.63                            | 198.6                   | 23.2                  | 670.8          | 6.5                       | 14.82              | 57.35              |
| 20                                 | 36.9           | 67.2             | 144.0                         | 10.29                           | 202.9                   | 25.1                  | 740.2          | 6.7                       | 16.52              | 65.00              |
| CD (P = 0.05)                      | 3.1            | 2.7              | 1.6                           | 1.35                            | 5.3                     | 1.3                   | 31.2           | 0.8                       | 0.21               | 1.24               |

**Table 2.** Benefit : cost ratio of crop production (gram-pearlmillet sequence) as affected by gypsum

| Treatment                 | Pearlmillet  |                          |                         |              |                          |                         | Gram         |                          |                         | Grand total increase in income (Rs) | Cost of gypsum (Rs) | Benefit : cost ratio |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                           | Grain        |                          |                         | Straw        |                          |                         | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (q/ha) | Increase in income (Rs) |                                     |                     |                      |
|                           | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (q/ha) | Increase in income (Rs) | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (q/ha) | Increase in income (Rs) |              |                          |                         |                                     |                     |                      |
| <i>Gypsum (tonnes/ha)</i> |              |                          |                         |              |                          |                         |              |                          |                         |                                     |                     |                      |
| 0                         | 13.18        |                          |                         | 52.82        |                          |                         | 7.91         |                          |                         |                                     |                     |                      |
| 2                         | 15.27        | 1.46                     | 438                     | 58.44        | 5.62                     | 562                     | 9.46         | 1.55                     | 930                     | 1,930                               | 1,000               | 1.93                 |
| 4                         | 17.03        | 3.22                     | 966                     | 62.19        | 9.37                     | 937                     | 11.14        | 3.23                     | 1,938                   | 3,841                               | 2,000               | 1.92                 |

Sale price (Rs/q): Pearlmillet grain, 300; pearlmillet straw, 100; gram grain, 600

Cost of gypsum (Rs/tonnes); 500.00 (unsubsidized rate)

tial of succeeding crop of 'WCC 75' pearl millet grown in the same plots. Soil characteristics were estimated along with the benefit : cost ratio. The soil of experimental field was low in available nitrogen and medium in both phosphorus and potash, having electrical conductivity ( $EC_2$ ),  $pH_2$  and exchangeable sodium percentage 0.6 dS/m, 8.4 and 15.06 respectively. The gypsum requirement of soil was 4.0 tonnes/ha. The irrigation water used had  $pH$  8.4,  $EC$  2.6 dS/m, residual sodium carbonate 4.8 and sodium-absorption ratio 12.9.

A significant increase in the yield attributes of gram and pearl millet was recorded with every increase in level of gypsum and farmyard manure; however, 1,000-grain weight of pearl millet did not show significant improvement with gypsum applied beyond 0.5 gypsum requirement and with farmyard manure beyond 10 tonnes/ha.

Pooled data indicated that each successive higher level of gypsum resulted in significant yield increase in gram. Application of gypsum at full gypsum requirement (4 tonnes/ha) increased the gram yield by 40.8 and 17.8% over the control and half gypsum requirement (2 tonnes/ha) respectively, whereas half gypsum requirement increased the yield by 19.6% over the control. No significant increase in yield of gram over the control was recorded with half the gypsum requirement in the beginning, i.e. first year. The significant enhancement in yield in subsequent year was due to gradual improvement of soil characteristics. Thus gypsum level at half the gypsum requirement was found adequate for significant contribution to gram yield that had been cost effective too as revealed by benefit : cost ratio (Table 2). Low solubility of gypsum (Yadav and

Gupta, 1984) could lead to an increase in net salt load in condition of relatively drier climate, as in our study where soil  $EC_2$  of field at the end of experimentation was 0.71 dS/m which was 0.60 dS/m before the start of study.

Increased application of farmyard manure along with gypsum increased the grain yield of gram. Pooled analysis of yield data showed that farmyard manure @ 20 tonnes/ha significantly increased the grain yield over the control only. The farmyard manure @ 10 tonnes/ha did not prove significantly better than the control in terms of gram yield.

Gypsum and farmyard manure applied earlier to gram crop had significant effect on succeeding crop of pearl millet as well (Table 2). Pooled analysis for 3-year data revealed that each successive higher dose of gypsum as well as of farmyard manure significantly increased the grain and straw yields of pearl millet, whereas gypsum at half and full gypsum requirements increased the grain yield by 10.6 and 24.0% respectively over the control. Farmyard manure @ 10 and 20 tonnes/ha increased the same by 5.1 and 17.2% respectively over the control. Similar trend was observed for straw yield of pearl millet. Khurana *et al.* (1991) reported similar residual effect of gypsum on pearl millet grown after either wheat or Indian mustard.

It was concluded that application of gypsum at half the gypsum requirement or that of farmyard manure @ 20 tonnes/ha may give higher yield of gram in saline-alkaline soil and water environment. The gypsum and farmyard manure also showed their beneficial residual effects on succeeding crop of pearl millet.

## REFERENCES

- Khurana, G. P., Bhaya, G. P. and Singh, S. K. 1991. Effect of soil ameliorants on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), mustard (*Brassica juncea*) and their residual effect on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) in an alkaline soil. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 36 (suppl.) : 273–275.
- Yadav, J. S. P. and Gupta, I. C. 1994. *Improving Salt-affected Soils (In Hindi)*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.

*Indian J. Agron.* 40 (2) : 316–318 (June 1995)

**Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculation on yield and quality, N and P uptake and economics of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*)**

V. B. BHALU, S. G. SADARIA, B. B. KANERIA AND V. D. KHANPARA

*Department of Agronomy, Gujarat Agricultural University, Junagadh 362 001*

Received: January 1993

A field experiment was conducted during the rainy season of 1990 at Junagadh. The soil was clayey in texture, medium in total nitrogen (0.072%), low in available phosphorus (18.7 kg/ha), medium in available potassium (261.8 kg/ha) and slightly alkaline in reaction (7.9 pH), with normal electrical conductivity (0.31 dS/m). Treatments consisting of 3 levels of N (10, 20 and 30 kg/ha) and P (20, 40 and 60 kg/ha) and 2 levels of *Rhizobium* inoculation (with and without inoculation) were laid out in factorial randomized block design, replicated 4 times. Full dose of N and  $P_2O_5$  was applied as urea and single superphosphate respectively at sowing as per treatments. The seed was sown on 30 June 1990 with inter-row spacing of 45 cm, using 25 kg/ha seed rate.

Nitrogen @ 20 kg/ha yielded as high as that of 30 kg/ha, and gave significantly higher yield than 10 kg/ha (Table 1). Similar trend was also observed for straw

yield. This was owing to the beneficial effect of this level on all the yield parameters. The results are in accordance with those of Vidhate *et al.* (1986). Protein content of seeds remarkably increased with each increment in N level from 10 kg N/ha to 30 kg N/ha. Nitrogen is the major constituent of protein, its application also enhanced the N uptake which might have increased the protein content of seeds. The result confirms the finding of Vidhate *et al.* (1986). Application of 30 kg N/ha gave the maximum net return; however, the highest incremental cost : benefit ratio was obtained was recorded with 20 kg N/ha.

Significantly higher grain yield was recorded at 40 and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha compared with 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha. Likewise, the application of 40 and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha were found equally effective and significantly superior to 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha for straw yield. The findings are in agreement with those of