

## Productivity and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*)-based cropping sequences under irrigated conditions

B.S. RASKAR, P.G. BHOI AND S.H. SHINDE

Water Management Project, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra 412 722

Received: October 1999

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 1995–97 at Rahuri, to study the productivity and economics of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] based cropping sequences under irrigated conditions. The total grain equivalent of soybean–chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) (65.92 q/ha) was significantly higher than the rest of sequences. Next in order was soybean–wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. emend. Fiori & Paol.) (50.60 q/ha) and lowest was in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)–wheat (41.61 q/ha). Optimum level of irrigation (at all critical growth stages) recorded the maximum grain-equivalent yield than suboptimum (elimination of 1 irrigation from recommended CGS). The total consumptive use (CU) was maximum in soybean–wheat (55 cm), while water-use efficiency (WUE) was higher in soybean–chickpea (153 kg/ha-cm). The total CU and WUE were 24% higher and 20% lower respectively in optimum as compared to suboptimum irrigation. The soybean–chickpea sequence gave significantly higher gross (Rs 59,274/ha) and net returns (Rs 46,530/ha) than rest of sequences, followed by soybean–wheat sequence. The per day productivity (Rs 270/day/ha) and returns per unit of water used (Rs 1,398/ha-cm) were maximum in soybean–chickpea (4.65) than other sequences studied. The optimum irrigation recorded significantly higher gross and net returns than suboptimum irrigation. The returns per unit of water used were 15% lower in optimum irrigation than suboptimum irrigation (Rs 1,049/ha-cm).

**Key words :** Soybean-based crop sequences, Productivity, Irrigation, Economics

Among all the oilseed crops, soybean has occupied third place in the edible oil scenario of India. Maharashtra ranks second in terms of production of soybean in the country. Although, the soybean is a new crop in the state, the area under this crop is increasing day by day, as it can profitably replace the other legumes like mungbean,

blackgram, pigeonpea etc. Also, it can replace rainy-season (*kharif*) sorghum in Western Maharashtra. Its cultivation is mostly confined to the rainy season with protective irrigations. After soybean, different winter crops like wheat, safflower, sunflower, chickpea and Indian mustard are grown under irrigated conditions. Grain

legumes play an important role in improving and maintaining soil fertility and increasing the growth and yield of succeeding crops (Dhama and Sinha, 1985). In view of this, an experiment was conducted to study the productivity and economics of soybean-based cropping sequences under irrigated conditions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted for 3 consecutive years during 1995–97 at Water Management Project, Rahuri, under irrigated conditions. The soil was clay loam, very low in available nitrogen (116.7 kg/ha), medium in available  $P_2O_5$  (18.47 kg/ha) and very high in available  $K_2O$  (737.4 kg/ha), with pH 8.3. The treatments comprised 6 crop sequences, viz. soybean–wheat, soybean–safflower (*Carthamus*

*tinctorius* L.), soybean–sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), soybean–chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), soybean–mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. & Cosson] and groundnut–wheat, in main plot and 2 irrigation treatments, viz. optimum irrigations based on critical growth stages and suboptimum irrigation (elimination of 1 irrigation from recommended CGS in subplots (Table 1). The experiment was laid in split-plot design with 3 replications on fixed site for all the crops. The recommended cultural practices were followed for all the crops (Table 2). Soybean-were equivalent was calculated by converting the grain yield of winter crop into soybean grain yield on the basis of selling prices during respective years. The economics was calculated on the basis of prevailing market prices of respective crops

Table 1. Number of irrigations applied

| Crop sequence     | Rainy season |            | Winter season |            |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------|
|                   | Optimum      | Suboptimum | Optimum       | Suboptimum |
| Soybean–wheat     | 4            | 3          | 5             | 4          |
| Soybean–safflower | 4            | 3          | 3             | 2          |
| Soybean–sunflower | 4            | 3          | 4             | 3          |
| Soybean–chickpea  | 4            | 3          | 3             | 2          |
| Soybean–mustard   | 4            | 3          | 4             | 3          |
| Groundnut–wheat   | 3            | 2          | 5             | 4          |

Table 2. Recommended cultural practices followed in different crops

| Crop      | Variety    | Seed rate<br>(kg/ha) | Spacing<br>(cm × cm) | Fertilizer applied (kg/ha) |          |        |
|-----------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
|           |            |                      |                      | N                          | $P_2O_5$ | $K_2O$ |
| Soybean   | 'MACS 124' | 75                   | 30 × 10              | 50                         | 100      | 00     |
| Wheat     | 'HD 2189'  | 100                  | 22.5                 | 120                        | 60       | 60     |
| Safflower | 'Bhima'    | 10                   | 45 × 20              | 50                         | 25       | 00     |
| Sunflower | 'Morden'   | 10                   | 60 × 30              | 60                         | 30       | 30     |
| Chickpea  | 'Vijay'    | 75                   | 30 × 10              | 25                         | 50       | 00     |
| Mustard   | 'Seeta'    | 5                    | 45 × 15              | 50                         | 25       | 00     |
| Groundnut | 'SB XI'    | 100                  | 30 × 10              | 25                         | 50       | 00     |

**Table 3.** Soybean grain-equivalent yield (q/ha) as affected by crop sequence and irrigation

| Treatment            | 1995         |               |       | 1996         |               |       | 1997         |               |       | Pooled mean  |               |       |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------|
|                      | Rainy season | Winter season | Total | Rainy season | Winter season | Total | Rainy season | Winter season | Total | Rainy season | Winter season | Total |
| <i>Crop sequence</i> |              |               |       |              |               |       |              |               |       |              |               |       |
| Soybean–wheat        | 24.56        | 22.93         | 47.48 | 28.01        | 27.87         | 55.83 | 27.78        | 20.73         | 48.51 | 26.78        | 23.84         | 50.61 |
| Soybean–safflower    | 25.46        | 23.06         | 49.02 | 28.88        | 19.26         | 48.09 | 25.29        | 12.55         | 37.84 | 26.71        | 18.29         | 45.02 |
| Soybean–sunflower    | 25.40        | 19.69         | 45.08 | 32.74        | 12.90         | 45.64 | 27.89        | 14.28         | 42.17 | 28.68        | 15.62         | 44.30 |
| Soybean chickpea     | 27.30        | 33.55         | 60.85 | 27.81        | 42.94         | 70.74 | 25.40        | 38.28         | 63.68 | 26.84        | 38.25         | 65.92 |
| Soybean–mustard      | 26.55        | 13.55         | 40.16 | 32.44        | 17.49         | 49.30 | 24.60        | 16.16         | 40.76 | 27.86        | 15.73         | 43.62 |
| Groundnut–wheat      | 16.34        | 22.20         | 38.74 | 15.62        | 27.50         | 43.13 | 22.65        | 20.32         | 42.97 | 18.27        | 23.34         | 41.61 |
| CD (P = 0.05)        |              | 6.08          | 7.40  | 3.86         | 10.10         | 10.89 | NS           | 2.00          | 4.65  | 5.04         | 6.65          | 5.98  |
| <i>Irrigation</i>    |              |               |       |              |               |       |              |               |       |              |               |       |
| Optimum              | 24.09        | 22.49         | 46.59 | 27.88        | 26.18         | 54.06 | 25.47        | 21.47         | 46.94 | 25.81        | 23.38         | 49.20 |
| Suboptimum           | 24.68        | 22.50         | 47.18 | 27.28        | 23.14         | 50.42 | 25.44        | 19.30         | 45.04 | 25.90        | 21.62         | 47.55 |
| CD (P = 0.05)        |              |               | NS    |              | 2.08          | 3.12  |              | 1.03          | NS    | NS           | NS            | NS    |

on pooled basis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Soybean grain-equivalent yield*

The total productivity measured in terms of soybean-grain equivalent yield was significantly affected by crop sequences (Table 3). The grain-equivalent yield of soybean was significantly higher in soybean-based crop sequences than ruling groundnut-wheat cropping sequence. The highest soybean grain-equivalent yield was recorded in soybean-chickpea sequence, which was significantly higher than the rest of sequences. Soybean-wheat sequence proved to be next best crop sequence, which also recorded significantly higher soybean grain equivalent yield than remaining sequences except soybean-safflower. Soybean-chickpea sequence was most productive, followed by soybean-wheat sequence (Singh *et al.* 1993). The good potential yield and reasonable market price of chickpea were major factors responsible for higher production of soybean grain equivalent yield under

soybean-based crop sequence. The lowest soybean grain-equivalent yield was found in groundnut-wheat being 24.31 q/ha lower than soybean-chickpea sequence. All the crop sequences recorded the maximum soybean grain-equivalent yield under optimum irrigation than suboptimum irrigation on pooled basis. Similar results were obtained during individual years except 1995, where yield was slightly increased at suboptimum irrigation treatment.

### *Moisture*

In soybean-based cropping sequence (Table 4) the mean consumptive use was maximum in soybean-wheat sequence and minimum in groundnut-wheat sequence and soybean-chickpea sequence, but reverse trend was observed in water-use efficiency (WUE). The WUE was highest in soybean-chickpea sequence (153.62 kg/ha-cm) and lowest in soybean-wheat sequence (90.56 kg/ha-cm). The mean consumptive use in optimum irrigation treatment was 24% higher (51.48 cm) than

**Table 4.** Water used by different soybean-based crop sequences (mean) during 1995-97

| Treatment            | Profile depletion (cm) | Effective rainfall (cm) | Irrigation applied (cm) | Total water (cm) | Consumptive use (cm) | Soybean grain equivalent (q/ha) | WUE (kg/ha-cm) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| <i>Crop sequence</i> |                        |                         |                         |                  |                      |                                 |                |
| Soybean-wheat        | 48.63                  | 7.25                    | 56.10                   | 63.35            | 55.88                | 50.606                          | 90.56          |
| Soybean-safflower    | 42.71                  | 6.05                    | 41.48                   | 47.63            | 48.76                | 45.016                          | 92.32          |
| Soybean-sunflower    | 41.55                  | 6.25                    | 49.86                   | 56.11            | 47.80                | 44.298                          | 92.67          |
| Soybean-chickpea     | 35.01                  | 7.36                    | 42.83                   | 50.19            | 42.37                | 65.092                          | 153.62         |
| Soybean-mustard      | 39.73                  | 7.36                    | 48.05                   | 55.41            | 47.09                | 43.617                          | 92.62          |
| Groundnut-wheat      | 33.00                  | 7.25                    | 46.35                   | 53.06            | 40.25                | 41.608                          | 103.00         |
| <i>Irrigation</i>    |                        |                         |                         |                  |                      |                                 |                |
| Optimum              | 44.56                  | 6.92                    | 53.09                   | 60.01            | 51.48                | 49.197                          | 95.56          |
| Suboptimum           | 34.41                  | 6.92                    | 41.83                   | 48.75            | 41.33                | 47.548                          | 115.04         |

Table 5. Economics of soybean-based crop sequences and irrigation levels (1995-97)

| Treatment            | Gross returns<br>(Rs/ha) |        |        |        | Net monetary returns<br>(Rs/ha) |        |        |        | Benefit :<br>cost<br>ratio | Produc-<br>tivity<br>(Rs/day<br>ha) (Rs/ha/cm) | Returns/<br>unit of<br>water |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                      | 1995                     | 1996   | 1997   | Pooled | 1995                            | 1996   | 1997   | Pooled |                            |                                                |                              |
| <i>Crop sequence</i> |                          |        |        |        |                                 |        |        |        |                            |                                                |                              |
| Soybean–wheat        | 44,930                   | 52,819 | 46,659 | 48,136 | 31,520                          | 39,461 | 33,249 | 34,743 | 3.59                       | 216                                            | 861                          |
| Soybean–safflower    | 42,722                   | 45,605 | 39,843 | 41,056 | 31,767                          | 34,862 | 23,888 | 30,172 | 3.77                       | 178                                            | 842                          |
| Soybean–sunflower    | 31,741                   | 42,578 | 39,300 | 37,872 | 25,439                          | 31,614 | 28,890 | 28,647 | 4.10                       | 187                                            | 792                          |
| Soybean–chickpea     | 53,304                   | 65,667 | 58,853 | 59,274 | 40,894                          | 52,602 | 46,443 | 46,530 | 4.65                       | 270                                            | 1,398                        |
| Soybean–mustard      | 35,273                   | 46,563 | 37,762 | 39,866 | 24,318                          | 35,675 | 26,807 | 28,933 | 3.64                       | 197                                            | 846                          |
| Groundnut–wheat      | 39,786                   | 42,602 | 41,449 | 41,279 | 24,286                          | 27,106 | 26,039 | 25,810 | 2.66                       | 185                                            | 1,025                        |
| CD (P = 0.05)        | 7,803                    | 8,789  | 4,460  | 5,695  | 5,327                           | 8,782  | 44,60  | 5,336  |                            |                                                |                              |
| <i>Irrigation</i>    |                          |        |        |        |                                 |        |        |        |                            |                                                |                              |
| Optimum              | 42,105                   | 51,243 | 44,057 | 45,801 | 31,141                          | 38,494 | 31,723 | 33,747 | 3.79                       | 210                                            | 889                          |
| Suboptimum           | 40,479                   | 47,369 | 42,232 | 43,360 | 28,268                          | 35,279 | 30,048 | 31,198 | 4.26                       | 199                                            | 1,049                        |
| CD (P = 0.05)        | NS                       | 2803   | NS     | 1,398  | NS                              | 2,822  | NS     | 1,572  |                            |                                                |                              |

sub-optimum irrigation treatment (41.33 cm), and WUE was 20% (115.04 cm) in suboptimum irrigation compared to optimum irrigation compared to optimum irrigation (95.56 kg/ha-cm).

### Economics

The pooled data on economics of different crop sequences indicated that the soybean-chickpea sequence gave significantly higher gross (Rs 59,274/ha) and net returns (Rs 46,530/ha) than rest of sequences, followed by soybean-wheat sequence (Table 5). Similar results were reported by Tomar *et al.* (1996), Dwivedi *et al.* (1995). Based on net returns and B:C ratio, the soybean-wheat sequence was found optimum under Pantnagar conditions (Singh and Lal, 1994). The lowest gross returns were obtained from groundnut-wheat sequence. The per day productivity based on gross returns was maximum in soybean-chickpea (Rs 270/day/ha) followed by soybean-wheat sequence (Rs 216/day/ha). The soybean-chickpea sequence recorded the maximum returns per unit of water (Rs 1,398/ha-cm); next in order were groundnut-wheat (Rs 1,025/ha-cm) and soybean-wheat (Rs 861/ha-cm). The benefit cost ratio was also the highest in soybean-chickpea (4.65) and lowest in groundnut wheat sequence. The optimum irrigation applied as per critical growth stages of the crop under sequences recorded significantly higher net monetary returns (Rs 33,347/ha) over sub-optimum irrigation by eliminating one irrigation at CGS in which net returns were lower (Rs 31,198/ha). Khot *et al.* (1998) also reported the maximum grain yield under optimum irrigation levels in chickpea based crop

sequences. The per day productivity was 6 per cent higher in optimum irrigation than sub-optimum irrigation (Rs 199/ha-cm). The returns per unit of water use was 15 per cent lower in optimum irrigation than sub-optimum irrigation (Rs 1049/ha-cm).

Hence soybean-chickpea was found to be the most remunerative and profitable crop sequence in scarcity tract of Maharashtra, whereas soybean-wheat proved to be the next best crop sequence with optimum irrigations to both the crops in the crops sequences.

### REFERENCES

- Dhama, A.K. and Sinha, M.N. 1985. Residual and cumulative effects of *kharif* legumes and P applied to them on succeeding wheat : A study on NP concentration and their uptake. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 30 : 422-428.
- Dwivedi, V.D., Namdeo, K.N. and Chaurasia. 1998. Economic feasibility of legume and non-legume-based double cropping systems under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 43 (3) : 404-406.
- Khot, A.B., Yaragattikar, A.T. and Kurdikeri, C.B. 1998. Performance of pulse/oilseed based crop sequences under constraints of irrigation water in vertisols of Karnataka. *Extended Summaries of First International Agronomy Congress*, held at New Delhi, pp. 395.
- Singh, K., Billore, S.D. and Borgale, M. 1993. Economy in fertilizer and energy use through double cropping of soybean-chickpea. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 38 (8) : 630-632.
- Singh, M. and Lal, B. 1994. Grain yield and economic returns under varying crops sequences and levels of rainy season and winter season irrigations. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 39 (2) : 211-215.
- Tomar, R.K.S., Namdeo, K.N. and Raghu, J.S. 1996. Productivity and economics of double cropping with pulses and oilseed against the base crop wheat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 41 (2) : 205-208.