

## Weed-population dynamics and fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) growth as influenced by integrated weed management

G.R. CHAUDHARY

All-India Co-ordinated Research Project on Spices, S.K.N. College of Agriculture,  
Jobner 303329 (Rajasthan)

Received : June 1999

### ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted during winter (*rabi*) season of 1991-92 to 1993-94 at S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner under semi-arid condition of Rajasthan. All the weed-control treatments except metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha significantly reduced the population of *Chenopodium murale* L. compared with unweeded control. *Heliotropium ellipticum* Ledebour was tolerant to oxyfluorfen @ 0.15 kg/ha, pre-emergence application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.15 kg/ha, pendimethalin @ 1.0 and 1.5 kg/ha, pre-plant incorporation of fluchloralin @ 1.0 and 1.5 kg/ha, pre-plant incorporation of fluchloralin @ 0.75 and 1.25 kg/ha, hand-weeding once (25 DAS) and twice (25 and 50 DAS, weed-free treatment and lower doses of herbicides supplemented with hand-weeding once (50 DAS) significantly reduced the total mean density (except at harvest with fluchloralin @ 0.15 kg/ha) and loss in seed yield (except oxyfluorfen @ 0.15 kg/ha and fluchloralin @ 0.75 kg/ha). All the weed control measures significantly increased the plant height and crop biomass (except metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha) over unweeded control. Lower doses of herbicides except metolachlor supplemented with hand-weeding once, pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha, hand-weeding once and twice and weed-free treatment significantly increased the harvest index compared with unweeded control. Maximum benefit : cost ratio of 3.35 was obtained under weed-free condition, followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha supplemented with hand-weeding once (2.75). Among the herbicidal treatments, metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha was least effective in reducing the weed density and loss in seed yield.

**Key words :** Fennel, Weed dynamics, Integrated weed management

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) is an important spice crop in India, having 15% of the country's total sown area and production in Rajasthan. Slow growth at initial stages and long duration of the crop favours recurrent flushes of weeds, which

compete with fennel for essentials of growth and cause heavy reduction in its seed yield. Frequent irrigation in loamy sand soils also promotes weed growth, causing intense competition. Applications of herbicides in fennel controls the weeds

effectively giving increase in seed yield up to 43.2 to 86.9% (Voevodin and Borisenko, 1981). Though frequent hoeing controls the weeds, it can also damage the crop. Therefore the present study was undertaken to find out the effective integrated weed-control measure in fennel.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were carried out during winter (*rabi*) season of 1991-92 to 1993-94 at S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner, under semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan. The soil had pH 8.0 and was low in available nitrogen and medium in available phosphorus and potassium status. The treatments details are given in Tables 1 and 2. The treatments were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications. The weed-free plots were maintained weed free by manual weeding equivalent to hand-weeding thrice. Fennel variety 'UF CM-1' was sown on 18, 29 and 22 October during 1991-92, 1992-93 and 1993-94, respectively, and harvested on 15, 18 and 20 April in the respective years. A uniform dose of 30 kg N and 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha was drilled at the time of sowing and 80 kg N/ha was top-dressed in two equal splits at 45 days after sowing (DAS) and at flower initiation. Weed population at 25, 50 and 75 DAS and at harvest, plant height, crop biomass at harvest and seed yield were recorded. Weed-control efficiency, weed index and harvest index were worked out.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Weed flora

The experimental field was infested with major weeds, viz. *Chenopodium murale* L.

and *Heliotropium ellipticum* Ledebour and minor weeds like *Chenopodium album* L., *Melilotus indica* (L.) All., and some of off season weeds like *Amaranthus viridis* L. and *Tribulus terrestris* L.

### Weed-population dynamics

All the weed-control measures except metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha significantly reduced the population of *Chenopodium murale* compared with that of unweeded control. *Heliotropium ellipticum* was tolerant to oxyfluorfen @ 0.15 kg/ha. All the weed-control measures significantly reduced the total mean weed density compared with unweeded control except at 25 DAS with hand-weeding once and twice (where the first hand-weeding was done 25 DAS), oxyfluorfen @ 0.75 kg/ha and fluchloralin @ 0.75 kg/ha and at all the stages of observation with metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha (Table 1). Weed-free treatment recorded the lowest total mean weed density at all the stages of observation. The lower doses of herbicides supplemented with hand-weeding once (50 DAS) were as effective as weed-free treatment in reducing the total mean weed density at harvest (except metolachlor). Among herbicidal treatments, pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha also remained on a par with that of weed-free treatment in reducing the total weed density. The weed density decreased with the advancement of crop growth owing to increased competition among the weed species and between weeds and crop plants, leading in elimination of some weed plants or species. Maximum weed-control efficiency was obtained with weed-free treatment at 25, 50 and 75 days after sowing and with pre-emergence

Table 1. Effect of integrated weed management on mean weed density, dry weight of weeds and weed-control efficiency

| Treatment                      | Mean weed density<br>0.25 m <sup>2</sup> at 50 DAS |              |              | Total mean weed<br>density (0.25 m <sup>2</sup> ) |               |               | W D W<br>(g/ha) | Weed-control<br>efficiency (%) |        |        |      |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|------|
|                                | C.m                                                | H.e          | Others       | 25 DAS                                            | 50 DAS        | H             | H               | 25 DAS                         | 50 DAS | 75 DAS | H    |
| Unweeded control               | 4.9<br>(24.0)                                      | 1.0<br>(0.7) | 1.9<br>(3.0) | 5.6<br>(35.3)                                     | 5.3<br>(27.7) | 3.6<br>15.0   | 17.43           | —                              | —      | —      | —    |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.15 kg/ha-PE   | 2.6<br>(6.3)                                       | 1.8<br>(2.7) | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 4.9<br>(15.7)                                     | 3.1<br>(9.3)  | 2.7<br>(7.3)  | 7.60            | 28.6                           | 41.5   | 39.6   | 28.9 |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.30 kg/ha-PE   | 3.1<br>(9.3)                                       | 1.1<br>(0.7) | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 3.2<br>(9.7)                                      | 3.2<br>(10.0) | 2.6<br>(6.7)  | 6.09            | 42.9                           | 34.0   | 29.2   | 31.6 |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.15 kg/ha+HW   | 2.5<br>(6.0)                                       | 1.8<br>(2.7) | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 3.5<br>(12.7)                                     | 3.0<br>(9.0)  | 2.1<br>(4.3)  | 3.20            | 37.5                           | 43.4   | 68.8   | 44.7 |
| Fluchloralin<br>0.75 kg/ha-PPI | 2.8<br>(9.3)                                       | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 1.3<br>(1.3) | 4.3<br>(21.0)                                     | 3.3<br>(11.0) | 2.8<br>(7.7)  | 7.22            | 23.2                           | 37.7   | 37.5   | 26.3 |
| Fluchloralin<br>1.25 kg/ha-PPI | 2.7<br>(8.7)                                       | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 1.2<br>(1.0) | 3.2<br>(12.3)                                     | 2.8<br>(9.7)  | 2.5<br>(6.0)  | 5.70            | 42.9                           | 47.2   | 41.7   | 34.2 |
| Fluchloralin<br>0.75 kg/ha+HW  | 2.9<br>(8.0)                                       | 1.0<br>(0.7) | 1.3<br>(1.3) | 3.9<br>(15.3)                                     | 3.2<br>(10.0) | 2.1<br>(4.3)  | 3.51            | 30.4                           | 39.6   | 68.8   | 44.7 |
| Metolachlor<br>0.5 kg/ha-PE    | 3.0<br>(8.7)                                       | 1.2<br>(1.0) | 1.2<br>(1.0) | 4.3<br>(17.7)                                     | 3.3<br>(10.7) | 2.9<br>(8.3)  | 10.81           | 23.2                           | 37.7   | 20.8   | 23.7 |
| Metolachlor<br>1.0 kg/ha-PE    | 4.0<br>(18.7)                                      | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 4.2<br>(17.7)                                     | 4.1<br>(19.0) | 3.4<br>(11.3) | 9.19            | 25.0                           | 22.6   | 12.5   | 10.5 |
| Metolachlor<br>0.5 kg/ha+HW    | 3.6<br>(14.0)                                      | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 1.2<br>(1.0) | 5.3<br>(28.0)                                     | 3.8<br>(15.3) | 2.6<br>(6.7)  | 3.88            | 5.4                            | 28.3   | 66.7   | 31.6 |

(Table 1. Continued)

| Treatment                     | Mean weed density<br>0.25 m <sup>2</sup> at 50 DAS |              |              | Total mean weed<br>density (0.25 m <sup>2</sup> ) |              |              | W D W<br>(q ha) | Weed-control<br>efficiency (%) |        |        |      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|------|
|                               | <i>C.m</i>                                         | <i>H.e</i>   | Others       | 25 DAS                                            | 50 DAS       | H            | H               | 25 DAS                         | 50 DAS | 75 DAS | H    |
| Pendimethalin<br>1.0 kg/ha-PE | 2.3<br>(4.7)                                       | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 1.1<br>(0.7) | 2.9<br>(8.3)                                      | 2.4<br>(5.7) | 2.6<br>(6.7) | 4.97            | 48.2                           | 54.7   | 43.8   | 31.6 |
| Pendimethalin<br>1.5 kg/ha-PE | 2.0<br>(3.7)                                       | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 1.1<br>(0.7) | 2.0<br>(3.3)                                      | 2.1<br>(4.3) | 2.1<br>(4.7) | 3.71            | 64.3                           | 60.4   | 52.1   | 44.7 |
| Pedimethalin<br>1.0 kg/ha+HW  | 1.9<br>(3.3)                                       | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 1.1<br>(0.7) | 2.9<br>(8.0)                                      | 2.1<br>(4.0) | 1.0<br>(0.7) | 2.02            | 48.2                           | 60.4   | 62.5   | 73.7 |
| H W once                      | 1.9<br>(3.0)                                       | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 1.3<br>(0.7) | 5.0<br>(28.3)                                     | 2.1<br>(4.0) | 2.3<br>(5.0) | 8.64            | 10.7                           | 60.4   | 75.0   | 39.5 |
| H W twice                     | 1.4<br>(2.3)                                       | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 0.9<br>(0.3) | 5.7<br>(36.7)                                     | 1.4<br>(2.7) | 1.4<br>(1.7) | 3.36            | -1.8                           | 73.6   | 62.5   | 63.2 |
| Weed free                     | 0.7<br>(0.0)                                       | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 0.7<br>(0.0)                                      | 0.7<br>(0.0) | 1.3<br>(1.3) | 0.84            | 87.5                           | 86.8   | 85.4   | 65.8 |
| CD (P = 0.05)                 | 1.7                                                | 0.6          | NS           | 2.2                                               | 1.6          | 1.0          | 2.18            | -                              | -      | -      | -    |

Actual weed counts are in parentheses transformed to  $\sqrt{x+0.5}$

DAS=Days after sowing W.D.W. = weed dry weight; HW = hand-weeding; PE = pre-emergence; PPI = pre-plant incorporation; H = at harvest; *C.m.* = *Chenopodium murale*; *H.e* = *Heliotropium ellipticum*

**Table 2.** Effect of integrated weed management on fennel growth, harvest index, benefit : cost ratio (mean data of 3 years), seed yield and weed- competition index

| Treatment                      | Plant height | Crop bio-mass | H.I. (%) | Seed yield (q/ha) |         |         |      | Weed competition index ratio |         |         |      | B : C ratio (mean) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|------|------------------------------|---------|---------|------|--------------------|
|                                |              |               |          | 1991-92           | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | Mean | 1991-92                      | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | Mean |                    |
| Unweeded control               | 98.9         | 8.74          | 18.7     | 1.36              | 1.30    | 2.19    | 1.62 | 86.6                         | 82.0    | 83.4    | 84.1 | -0.11              |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.15 kg/ha-PE   | 112.2        | 15.51         | 22.2     | 4.07              | 2.78    | 2.50    | 3.12 | 59.9                         | 61.5    | 81.1    | 69.4 | 0.38               |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.30 kg/ha-PE   | 120.0        | 18.12         | 24.3     | 5.65              | 3.01    | 3.30    | 3.99 | 44.3                         | 58.3    | 75.0    | 60.8 | 0.54               |
| Oxyfluorfen<br>0.15 kg/ha+HW   | 118.3        | 25.21         | 31.2     | 7.50              | 4.26    | 10.70   | 7.49 | 26.1                         | 41.0    | 18.9    | 26.5 | 2.13               |
| Fluchloralin<br>0.75 kg/ha-PPI | 114.0        | 17.16         | 19.2     | 3.83              | 2.45    | 3.16    | 3.15 | 62.3                         | 88.1    | 76.1    | 69.1 | 0.51               |
| Fluchloralin<br>1.25 kg/ha-PPI | 118.5        | 16.71         | 28.9     | 7.84              | 4.17    | 2.29    | 4.77 | 22.8                         | 42.2    | 82.7    | 53.2 | 1.11               |
| Fluchloralin<br>0.5 kg/ha-PE   | 114.4        | 20.18         | 37.2     | 7.75              | 5.32    | 8.22    | 7.12 | 23.6                         | 26.3    | 37.7    | 30.1 | 2.16               |
| Metolachlor<br>0.5 kg/ha-PE    | 101.4        | 9.10          | 26.7     | 2.62              | 1.76    | 2.01    | 2.13 | 47.2                         | 75.6    | 84.8    | 79.1 | 0.20               |
| Metolachlor<br>1.0 kg/ha-PE    | 109.0        | 8.70          | 24.0     | 3.18              | 1.90    | 0.80    | 1.96 | 68.7                         | 73.7    | 96.3    | 80.8 | 0.38               |
| Metolachlor<br>0.5 kg/ha+HW    | 113.8        | 19.96         | 28.5     | 6.33              | 3.66    | 5.76    | 5.25 | 37.6                         | 49.3    | 56.4    | 48.5 | 1.33               |

(Table 2 : Continued)

| Treatment                  | Plant height | Crop bio-mass | H.I. (%) | Seed yield (q/ha) |         |         |       | Weed competition index ratio |         |         |      | B : C ratio (mean) |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------|------------------------------|---------|---------|------|--------------------|
|                            |              |               |          | 1991-92           | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | Mean  | 1991-92                      | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | Mean |                    |
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha-PE | 118.7        | 19.79         | 26.2     | 6.33              | 3.43    | 5.10    | 4.59  | 37.6                         | 52.5    | 61.4    | 51.4 | 1.29               |
| Pendimethalin 1.5 kg/ha-PE | 119.1        | 19.45         | 33.9     | 7.44              | 4.07    | 7.05    | 6.19  | 26.7                         | 43.6    | 46.7    | 39.3 | 1.70               |
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha+HW | 116.0        | 27.39         | 31.7     | 9.39              | 6.57    | 10.07   | 8.67  | 7.6                          | 9.0     | 23.7    | 14.9 | 2.75               |
| H W once                   | 115.8        | 19.69         | 32.3     | 6.02              | 3.43    | 7.99    | 5.81  | 40.7                         | 52.5    | 39.5    | 43.0 | 1.90               |
| H W twice                  | 117.7        | 25.93         | 30.3     | 8.86              | 5.65    | 8.49    | 7.67  | 12.1                         | 21.7    | 35.7    | 24.7 | 2.51               |
| Weed free                  | 124.6        | 29.57         | 34.7     | 10.15             | 7.22    | 13.20   | 10.19 | —                            | —       | —       | —    | 3.35               |
| CD (P = 0.05)              | 8.0          | 5.50          | 11.5     | 1.30              | 1.01    | 1.32    | 2.30  | —                            | —       | —       | —    | —                  |

  

| Particulars                         | Year    |         |         | Herbicide cost           | Cost (Rs/litre) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                                     | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 |                          |                 |
| General cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | 3,400   | 3,603   | 3,603   | Oxyfluorfen              | 4,633           |
| Labour charges (Rs/man day)         | 22      | 22      | 2,222   | Fluchloralin             | 531             |
| Seed sold (Rs/q)                    | 1,800   | 2,000   | 2,000   | Metolachlor              | 800             |
| H W once (Rs/ha)                    | 330     | 330     | 330     | Pendimethalin            | 533             |
| H W twice (Rs/ha)                   | 660     | 660     | 660     | Spraying charges (Rs/ha) | 66              |
| Weed free (Rs/ha)                   | 990     | 990     | 990     |                          |                 |

H.I. = harvest index

application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha supplemented with hand-weeding once at harvest (Table 1). These results are in conformity with those of Damato *et al.* (1986). Among herbicidal treatments, metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha gave minimum weed-control efficiency at all the stages of observation.

### **Crop growth**

All the weed-control measures except metolachlor @ 0.5 kg/ha significantly increased the mean plant height over unweeded control. Maximum mean plant height of 124.6 cm was recorded under weed-free condition. All weed-control measures except metolachlor @ 0.5 and 1.0 kg/ha significantly increased the mean crop biomass over unweeded control. The maximum mean crop biomass of 29.57 q/ha recorded at harvest stage in season-long weed-free plots was at par with that of hand-weeding twice, oxyfluorfen @ 0.15 kg/ha and pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha both supplemented with hand-weeding once but significantly higher over rest of the treatments (Table 2). Lower doses of herbicides (except metolachlor) supplemented with hand-weeding once, pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha, hand-weeding once and twice and weed-free treatment significantly increased the harvest index compared with unweeded control. This increase in harvest index may be attributed to the production of more photosynthates due to better weed-control efficiency of these treatments.

### **Weed-competition index**

The season-long weed infestation reduced the seed yield by 86.6, 82.0, 83.4

and 84.1% during 1991-92, 1992-93, 1993-94 and on mean data basis respectively compared with the weed free treatment (Table 2). Hand-weeding twice and other doses of herbicides (except metolachlor) supplemented with hand-weeding once were as effective as weed-free treatment in minimizing the crop-weed competition. Damato *et al.* (1986) reported similar effect of integrated weed management in fennel. The season-long weed-free plots gave a net profit of Rs 3.35 on investment compared with the net loss of Re 0.11/Re investment from unweeded control plots. The lower doses of herbicides supplemented with hand-weeding once were more remunerative compared with their higher doses, because hand-weeding (50 DAS) effectively controlled the second flush of weeds, though the herbicides might have been degraded at least to their half-life level in the soil by this time (Kewat and Pandey, 1998), resulting in sub-lethal effects on late-germinating weeds and leading to their poor control. Thus it can be concluded that pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha supplemented with hand-weeding once (50 DAS) was as effective as weed-free treatment in respect of weed-control efficiency and seed yield.

### **REFERENCES**

- Damato, G., Pellegrino, P. and Bianco, V.V. 1986. First results of chemical weed control and hand weeding in the fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. var. *Azoricum* (Mill.) Thell. *Hort International Abstracts* 56 (2) : 1097.
- Kewat, M.L. and Pandey, Jitendra. 1998. Persistence of pendimethalin and metribuzin in soil following pre-emergence application to

- soybean. *Extended summaries, First International Agronomy Congress on Agronomy, Environment and Food Security for 21st Century*, 23-27 November 1998 at New Delhi, 323 pp.
- Moens, M., Aicha, B.B., Himme, M. Vanand Streakers, J. 1983. Chemical weed control in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) in Tunisia, *Hort. International Abstract* 53 (2):1076.
- Voevodin, A. V. and Borisenko, L.A. 1984. The use of herbicides for sequential of perennial and annual weeds in vegetable crops and the significance of this method for environment protection. *Hort. International Abstracts* 54 (6) : 3875.