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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)-based cropping systems as an alternate to rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system at Jallowal (Jalandhar), Punjab, during 2016–17. Eight cropping systems, viz. potato–spring maize (*Zea mays* L.)–basmati rice, potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)–okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]–basmati rice, potato–bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.)–maize, potato–bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.)–maize, potato–onion (*Allium cepa* L.)–maize, potato–summer greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilezek]–maize, potato–summer greengram–basmati rice and rice–wheat, were laid out in randomized complete-block design with 3 replications. Rice-equivalent yield was higher in potato–onion–maize system (32.4 t/ha), being significantly higher than all the other systems. Potato–onion–maize system also resulted in the highest net returns of ₹293,000/ha followed by potato–bitter gourd–maize (₹260,000/ha) and potato–okra–basmati rice (₹249,000/ha) compared to rice–wheat systems (₹113,000/ha). The highest benefit: cost ratio of 1.32 was obtained in potato–onion–maize system. The production efficiency was also recorded higher in the same system. Both, energy-use efficiency and specific energy were the highest in rice–wheat system, which was statistically at par with potato–summer greengram–maize and potato–spring maize–basmati rice.
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Rice–wheat is the most predominant cereal-based cropping system ensuring employment, food security, income and livelihood for millions of people (Singh et al., 2014). It occupies 13.5 million ha area in the Indo-Gangetic plains (IGP) of South Asia (Gupta and Seth, 2007). Higher productivity, yield stability, mechanization, assured market price and less risk compared to other alternative cropping systems made this system more predominant one. Conventional transplanted rice, being a water-guzzler crop, is contributing for over-exploitation of the natural resource like water and environmental degradation through burning of crop residues. In contrary to this, being a cropping-intensive input-driven system and its adoption in non-traditional areas resulted in number of ecological, socio-economic and management problems.

In the present scenario of ever-increasing population, multiple cropping is one of the options to satisfy the increasing food demands and inclusion of high-value crops in crop sequences improves income of farmers (Bihari et al., 2019). Potato being a high-value commercial crop and its large-scale utility in different products along with its nutritional superiority to most of the food crops makes it a good diversification option. Exceptionally high productivity of potato coupled with short-durability makes it compliant in intensive cropping systems. Accommodating maximum short duration crops in same piece of land in a year in the existing cropping system can help sustain food security along with better resource management. Summer greengram or moong has a lot of scope in crop diversification, as it fits well in different cropping systems owing to short duration and gives high yield. Inclusion of legumes in the cropping systems improves productivity and makes them more remunerative (Jacob et al., 2016). Maize can play an important role in crop diversification, as it has great potential to be adjusted under diverse climatic conditions. Similarly, basmati rice fetches premium price in the market
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because of its aroma and quality which adds to another diversified aspect for its adoption.

Under present scenario, energy is a critical aspect for national development and as cost of energy is rising day by day so it becomes immensely important to conserve energy. Rice has large amount of energy requirement as compared to other crops and different cropping systems vary in their energy-conversion efficiencies. Hence the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate diversification options in terms of productivity, profitability and energy use efficiency for replacing rice–wheat cropping system with different viable and sustainable potato-based cropping systems in potato belt of Doaba region of Punjab.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted to study the feasibility of potato–based cropping systems as an alternate to rice–wheat at University Fruit Research Station, Punjab Agricultural University, Jallowal, Jalandhar (31°29’38” N and 75°37’40” E) in potato-dominated area during 2016–17. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam, having pH 7.5, medium organic carbon (0.64), high available phosphorus (34.1 kg/ha) and medium available potassium (211 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with 3 replications. The treatments consisted of 8 cropping systems, viz. potato–spring maize–basmati rice; potato–okra–basmati rice; potato–bottle gourd–maize; potato–bitter gourd–maize; potato–onion–maize; potato–summer greengram–maize; potato–summer greengram–basmati rice and rice–wheat as a control. The cultivars, row spacing, seed rate, dates of sowing/transplanting and harvesting of crops and nutrients applied for different crops are given in Table 1. For comparison among different cropping systems, the yields of different crops were converted to rice-equivalent yield using the standard method. Production efficiency was worked out using the method prescribed by Shukla et al. (2019). Apparent nutrient-use productivity was calculated as per Jacob et al. (2016) and apparent water productivity was calculated by the standard method.

The energy equivalents used in different cropping systems for various inputs and outputs were computed as suggested by Devasenapathy et al. (2009) and Rajanna et al. (2019). Net energy, energy-use efficiency, energy productivity (g/MJ) and specific energy were calculated as prescribed by Negi et al. (2016).

The data on different parameters were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) in randomized block design. The treatment means were compared by using critical difference (P=0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Productivity of cropping systems

The rice-equivalent yield was the maximum in potato–onion–maize cropping system (32.4 t/ha) which was significantly higher than all the other cropping systems (Table 2). This increase over rice–wheat cropping system was 157% which might be attributed to the higher productivity of potato and onion in comparison to rice and wheat (Kachroo et al., 2014). All the cropping systems resulted in significantly higher rice-equivalent yield than the most predominant rice–wheat cropping system. The rice-equivalent yield of potato–okra–basmati rice, potato–bitter gourd–maize, potato–bottle gourd–maize, potato–spring maize–basmati rice, potato–summer greengram–basmati rice and potato–summer greengram–maize was 134.1, 131.7, 124.6, 100.0, 74.6 and 71.4% higher than that of rice–wheat system. The inclusion of high-yielding vegetable crops of short-duration like potato, okra, bottle gourd, bitter gourd and onion in cereal- based cropping systems can provide good alternative to traditional rice–wheat cropping system for enhancing productivity. Gangwar and Singh (2011);

Table 1. Agronomic practices followed in different crops under potato-based cropping systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Spacing (cm × cm)</th>
<th>Seed rate (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Date of sowing/transplanting</th>
<th>Date of harvesting</th>
<th>Nutrients applied (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Market price (₹/q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>'Kufri Pukhraj'</td>
<td>60×20</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>20-Oct.-2016</td>
<td>31-Jan.-2017</td>
<td>187.5, 62.5, 62.5</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>'PBW 725'</td>
<td>20 (row-row)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25-Oct.-2016</td>
<td>10-Apr.-2017</td>
<td>125, 62.5 -</td>
<td>1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring maize</td>
<td>'PMH 10'</td>
<td>60×20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31-Jan.-2017</td>
<td>2-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>125, 60 -</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okra</td>
<td>'Punjabi 8'</td>
<td>45×15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22-Mar.-2017</td>
<td>11-Jul.-2017</td>
<td>90 - 50</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottle gourd</td>
<td>'Punjabi Komal'</td>
<td>200×45-60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23-Feb.-2017</td>
<td>26-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>70 -</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter gourd</td>
<td>'Punjabi 14'</td>
<td>150×45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23-Feb.-2017</td>
<td>26-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>100 50 50</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
<td>'Punjabi Naroya'</td>
<td>15×7.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31-Jan.-2017</td>
<td>26-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>100 50 50</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer greengram</td>
<td>'SML 832'</td>
<td>22.5×7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22-Mar.-2017</td>
<td>24-May-2017</td>
<td>12.5 40</td>
<td>4,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>'PMH 1'</td>
<td>60×20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>30-Sep.,-2017</td>
<td>125 60 30</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>'PR126'</td>
<td>20×15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26-Jun.-2017</td>
<td>30-Sep.-2017</td>
<td>125 30 30</td>
<td>1,590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and Mal et al. (2018) also reported enhanced system productivity with the inclusion of vegetables or legumes as compared to cereal-cereal cropping system. Among the different cropping systems, the production efficiency of potato–onion–maize was the highest and was significantly better than all the other cropping systems except potato–bitter gourd–maize, potato–okra–basmati rice and potato–bottle gourd–maize.

The highest apparent nutrient-use productivity was recorded in potato–okra–basmati rice system and was significantly higher than the remaining cropping systems. This is owing to the fact that the nutrient requirement of both okra and basmati is less than all the other crops. Significantly higher apparent nutrient-use productivity was obtained in all the cropping systems as compared to the rice–wheat system except potato–summer greengram–maize which was statistically similar to that system. This is owing to the higher rice-equivalent yield obtained in all the systems although the nutrient requirement of these systems involving 3 crops is higher than rice–wheat system. Among the potato-based cropping systems, the least value of apparent nutrient-use productivity was obtained in potato–summer greengram–maize system, which might be due to more nutrient-exhaustive potato and maize crops. Although nutrient requirement of greengram is low, less yield of this pulse crop as compared to vegetables reduces system rice-equivalent yield, resulting in lesser nutrient-use productivity. Apparent water productivity was the highest in potato–bitter gourd–maize and was statistically at par with potato–onion–maize, potato–bottle gourd–maize, potato–summer greengram–maize and significantly better than potato–okra/spring maize/summer greengram–basmati rice and rice–wheat cropping systems. Owing to the water-intensive nature of the rice crop, it increases the irrigation requirement of the system, thus reduces the apparent water productivity of the cropping system.

**Economics**

The highest gross returns were obtained in potato–onion–maize, followed by potato–okra–basmati rice, potato–bitter gourd–maize, potato–bottle gourd–maize, potato–spring maize–basmati rice, potato–summer greengram–basmati rice and potato–summer greengram–maize and the least was obtained in rice–wheat system (Table 3). Potato–onion–maize also gave the highest net returns of \(\text{\text₹} 293,000/\text{ha}\), followed by potato–bitter gourd–maize

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Winter crop yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Spring crop yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Rainy season crop yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>REY (t/ha)</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>Production efficiency (kg/ha/day)</th>
<th>Nutrient-use productivity (kg/ha/kg nutrient applied)</th>
<th>Apparent water productivity (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato–spring maize–basmati rice</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>73.10</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–okra–basmati rice</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>88.29</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bottle gourd–maize</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>87.98</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bitter gourd–maize</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>90.66</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–onion–maize</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>93.92</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–maize</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>82.55</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–basmati rice</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>76.79</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat–rice</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>47.85</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Gross returns (‘000 ₹/ha)</th>
<th>Net returns (‘000 ₹/ha)</th>
<th>Benefit: cost ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato–spring maize–basmati rice</td>
<td>401.0</td>
<td>198.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–okra–basmati rice</td>
<td>468.9</td>
<td>249.0</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bottle gourd–maize</td>
<td>450.4</td>
<td>237.0</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bitter gourd–maize</td>
<td>464.1</td>
<td>260.0</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–onion–maize</td>
<td>515.2</td>
<td>293.0</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–maize</td>
<td>343.9</td>
<td>168.4</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–basmati rice</td>
<td>349.2</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat–rice</td>
<td>200.1</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(₹ 260,000/ha), potato–okra–basmati rice (₹ 249,000/ha) as compared to predominant cereal-based rice–wheat system (₹ 113,000/ha). The highest B: C ratio was obtained in potato–onion–maize followed by rice–wheat system. Other vegetable-and cereal-based cropping systems had lower B: C ratio than the predominant cereal-based rice–wheat system which might be due to more cost of cultivation and also lower market price of different crops.

**Energetics**

Among all the cropping systems, the highest input energy was used in potato–onion–maize (86.09 × 10³ MJ/ha) and the least was required in rice–wheat (43.40 × 10³ MJ/ha) system (Table 4). The cropping systems involving 3 crops required higher energy input than the cropping systems with 2 crops. The higher input requirement in potato-based cropping system might be because of more input requirement like seed, FYM, fertilizers, herbicides and labour. Onion crop needs transplanting of seedlings and also manual weedings, thus it is also very labour-intensive and thus potato–onion–maize system involves high energy values. The second highest input was recorded in potato–bitter gourd–maize (80.91 × 10³ MJ/ha). The highest share in energy inputs in different cropping systems was mainly contributed by fertilizers (24.7 to 38.14%), followed by seed (4.06 to 24.14%), organic manures (18.8 to 26.97%) and irrigations (8.89 to 26.13%). The least was contributed by pesticides (0.58 to 1.79%), followed by herbicides (1.10 to 2.52%), labour (2.05 to 6.32%) and tractor (4.48 to 5.58%).

Total energy output was computed from main product and by-product of different cropping systems and it ranged from 407.62 to 678.60 × 10³ MJ/ha (Table 5). Significantly higher energy output was obtained from potato–spring maize–basmati rice followed by potato–summer greengram–maize, potato–onion–maize and potato–bottle gourd–maize systems, thus these systems proved to be efficient energy converters although their energy-input requirement was more than traditional rice–wheat. The net energy output also followed the similar trend. The least net energy output was obtained from potato–okra–basmati rice (333.43 × 10³ MJ/ha).

Energy-use efficiency was the highest in rice–wheat (9.39), being statistically at par with potato–summer greengram–maize (9.06) and potato–spring maize–basmati rice (8.51) cropping systems. Highest energy productivity was recorded in potato–okra–basmati rice and was statistically at par with potato–onion–maize and potato–bitter gourd/bottle gourd–maize cropping systems, which might be because of better utilization of available resources and

### Table 4. Energy values (×10³ MJ/ha) of various inputs in potato-based cropping systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Seed</th>
<th>Farmyard manure</th>
<th>Fertilizers</th>
<th>Herbicides</th>
<th>Pesticides</th>
<th>Labour</th>
<th>Tractor</th>
<th>Irrigations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato–spring maize–basmati rice</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>25.43</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>79.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–okra–basmati rice</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.27</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>75.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bottle gourd–maize</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>78.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bitter gourd–maize</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>28.32</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>80.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–onion–maize</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>86.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–maize</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>72.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–basmati rice</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>66.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat–rice</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>43.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Energetics of potato-based cropping systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Energy output (×10³ MJ/ha)</th>
<th>Net energy output (×10³ MJ/ha)</th>
<th>Energy use efficiency</th>
<th>Energy productivity (kg/MJ)</th>
<th>Specific energy (MJ/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato–spring maize–basmati rice</td>
<td>678.6</td>
<td>598.8</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–okra–basmati rice</td>
<td>408.9</td>
<td>333.4</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bottle gourd–maize</td>
<td>629.7</td>
<td>551.2</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–bitter gourd–maize</td>
<td>557.6</td>
<td>476.7</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–onion–maize</td>
<td>640.3</td>
<td>554.2</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–maize</td>
<td>655.4</td>
<td>583.1</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato–summer greengram–basmati rice</td>
<td>410.0</td>
<td>343.1</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat–rice</td>
<td>407.6</td>
<td>364.2</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEm±</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>73.98</td>
<td>73.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the maximum system productivity. Patra et al. (2019) also found increase in the energy productivity with the inclusion of maize and vegetables. Specific energy was the highest in rice–wheat (3.45 MJ/kg), statistically at par with potato–summer moong–maize cropping system (3.36 MJ/kg) and potato–spring maize–basmati rice (3.17) and significantly better than the alternative cropping systems. This indicated that these cropping systems require higher inputs to produce a unit of produce. The least specific energy was obtained in potato–okra–basmati rice which was to the tune of 2.57 MJ/kg. Thus, inclusion of vegetables in cereal-based cropping systems resulted in low input use per kg of the produce.

Based on the above findings, it can be concluded that potato–onion–maize system is the most productive and remunerative system for diversifying traditional rice–wheat, followed by potato–bitter gourd–maize and potato–lady finger–basmati rice. All these systems also resulted in higher energy productivity. Potato–bitter gourd–maize had the highest apparent water productivity, whereas potato–okra–basmati rice had the highest apparent nutrient-use productivity. Adoption of these viable diversification options available for the farmers can help to realize higher productivity, profitability and resource-use efficiency.
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